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## Dear Reader:

Aircraft noise is now a significant problem for many people living near airports. Part of the solution to this problem can be found in abatement actions which are specific to individual community/ airport situations. Adoption of such airport specific actions must be preceded by the analysis and depiction of both current aituations and all feasible abatement options.

Until now, the analysis of noise abatement options has of ten been equated with complex and expensive computer operations, the cost of which may be beyond the capabilities of some airport proprietors, involved comunities, or local land use planners. This manual will allow one to calculate, on an airport specific basis, the daymight average sound level ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) which results from civil aircraft operations and thus predict the noise impact in areas near the airport. This "desk calculation" of aircraft noise levels was adapted from the type of computer systems now in use by both the Federal Aviation Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency.

We at EPA believe that this manual desk calculation process is a major step forward with respect to aviation noise abatement and compatible land use planning.

Charles L. Elkins
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Noise Control Programs
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SUMMARY

A method is described for calculating values of Day/Night Levels ( $L_{\text {dn }}$ ) at a point due to aircraft operations from civil airports. Two levels of sophistication are detailed -- at the basic level, such factors as type of takeoff and landing procedures are considered, but aircraft range and non-standard approach glide slopes are excluded; the detailed method takes account of the latter parameters. The procedure is to determine distances between the point in question on the ground and the alreraft flight tracks and runway. A series of charts provided in the report give $L_{\text {dn }}$ values for different types of aircraft in terms of these distance parameters. An adJustment is made to the noise levels for each class of alrcraft to take account of the number of operations, and these adjustment levels are then added logarithmically to producce an overall noise level. This method is not suitable for generating noise contours (lines of equal $L_{\text {dn }}$ value) although a method is described for estimating area and size of any given contour.
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## CALCULATION OF DAY/NIGHT LEVEISS DUE TO CIVIL AIRCRAFT FLIGHT OPERATION <br> SECTION I

## INTRODUCTION

This manual presents procedures and information for calculating the day/night noise level ( $L_{d n}$ ) due to flight operations in the vicinity of civil airports. Use of this procedure and alrcraft noise information presented in this manual will enable you to estimate the day/night noise level at specific ground locations resulting from aircraft takeoffs and landings at an airport. Charts are provided in the manual which provide noise information on most civil aircraft currently operating in the country, Noise charts are presented for takeoff and landing operations including special noise abatement procedures.

The basic steps in calculating day/night levels are relatively simple and straightforward. However, the number of calculations multiply by the number of types of aircraft and the kinds of operations involved, so calculations can be quite lengthy when calculating noise exposure near an alrport where the noise is due to operations from several runways by a variety of aircraft.

The handbook provides information for estimating day/night average levels at two levels of precision:
(a) a preliminary assessment when detalled information on aircraft operations is not available and
(b) a detailed assessment when accurate information on aircraft filght operations and filght paths is known.

The latter assessment requires more extensive information on aircraft operations and may be more time consuming to calculate.

This manual has been especially developed for desk calculation of day/night levels values at specific ground locations. The procedures are not intended for developing noise exposure contours around an entire airport since the calculations would generally be too time consuming and laborious. When noise contours over a considerable area are needed, several computer programs are currently avalilable and should be used.1,2* The basic noise information provided in this manual is identical to that used in some of the other current computer programs for calculating day/night level contours.

This manual does not include information on military aircraft, hence it cannot be used for calculating $L_{d n}$ values for a military alrport or where military alrcraf't operate from a civil alrport; information on noise from ground runup operations is also excluded from the handbook. Noise data are also restricted to fixed wing aircraft, so that noise from helicopter operations cannot be calculated. However, the basic calculation procedures can be extended to cover those alrcraft simply by providing separate noise charts for the alrcraft not covered in this manual.

This manual does not provide information on criteria, standards or guidelines for interpreting the day/night level
*References are listed together at the end of the text.

In terms of land use or community response. Such information may be found in application guides published by various local, state and federal agencies.

The next section of the manual provides a brief description of the calculation procedure. Section III describes how to calculate $L_{d n}$ values at a point, and Section IV describes a method for determining approximate $L_{d n}$ contours. Section $V$ gives additional procedures for detailed noise assessment or non-standard filght profiles. The noise information to be used in calculating the day/night levels is provided in Appendix A. Appendix B provides background technical information concerning the calculation of noise graphs and basic modeling assumptions and sources of technical information.

## SECTION II

## OVERVIEW

The day night average sound level ( $L_{\text {dn }}$ ) is a measure of the noise environment at a prescribed location over a 24-hour period. It is equivalent in terms of sound energy to the level of a continuous A-weighted sound level with 10 dB added to the nighttime levels. The $L_{\mathrm{dn}}$ may be measured or computed in several ways. If the noise is monitored continuously, the noise levels existing over the 24-hour period can be summed on an energy basis (adding 10 dB to the nighttime levels).

A second approach is adopted for calculating the $L_{\text {dn }}$ values due to airport operations. In this approach, the noise contributions from each significant aircraft operation (takeoffs and landings) occurring over a 24 -hour period are summed on an energy basis to obtain the $L_{d n}$ value. In this case, the nighttime adjustment may be introduced by either adding 10 dB to the nighttime levels or by multiplying the number of nighttime events by 10 . This latter procedure is the one adopted in this manual.*

To provide a systematic basis for calculations, aircraft notse intrusions are classified by type of aircraft and type of operations (takeoffs and landings). (The classification for an airoraft is based on the airport noise characteristics and its takeoff and landing capabilities.) An $L_{d n}$ value (called a "partial" $L_{d n}$ ) is first \#Daytime is taken as the period from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., nighttime is the period from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.
calculatod for each class of alrcraft, tahing into ascount the number of significant operations occurring in the daytime and nighttime. These "partial" $L_{d n}$ values are then summed on an energy basis to obtain the total $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{dn}}$.

## A. Sound Exposure Level (SEL)

The noise exposure contribution from each aircraft operation is described in terms of sound exposure level (SEL). Referring to Figure 1 , which shows a typical time pattern of the noise levels existing during an alrcraft flyover, the SEL is the A-weighted sound level integrated over the entire noise event and normalized to a reference duration of 1 second. Hence the SEL gives the level of a continuous 1 second signal which contains the same amount of energy as the nolse event. The 1 second reference duration acts like a common denominator, permitting the addition of new events of varying durations.

Note that while the SEL is measured in terms of the A-welghted sound level scale, the SEL is generally not equal to the maximum A-level occurring during the noise event (see Figure 1). Most alrcraft noise intrusions last more than $I$ second, so SEL value will in general be higher than the maximum A-level for the same event.
B. Calculation of Partial $L_{\text {dn }}$ Values

The $I_{\text {dn }}$ for one class of alrcraft and mode of operation along one flight track is called the "partial" $L_{\text {dn }}$. For aircraft class, 1 , and operational mode, $J$, the partial $L_{d n}$ (i,j) is given by:

figure 1. illustration of gel concept

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{\mathrm{dn}}(1, j)=\operatorname{SEL}(1, j)+10 \log \left(N_{D}[1, j]+10 N_{N}[1, j] j-49.4\right. \text { (1) } \\
\text { where } N_{D}=\text { number of daytime operations } \\
N_{N}=\text { number of nightime operations }
\end{gathered}
$$

The $\operatorname{SEL}(1, j)$, the first term in the above equation, is dependent upon the type of aircraft, its power setting and the distance of the alrcraft from the listener at the closest point along its flight track. The second term of the equation involves the number of operations for the given type of alrcraft and mode of operation. The last term, a constant of -49.4 , reflects the normalization of $L_{d n}$ to a 24-hour day, rather than to the 1 second reference value for SEL.*

For convenient calculation, equation (1) may be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathrm{dn}}(1, j)=\operatorname{SEL}(1, j)-K(1, j) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=49.4-10 \log \left(N_{D}[1, j]+10 N_{N}[1, j]\right)$
C. Calculation of Total $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{dn}}$ Value

After the partial $I_{d n}$ values are calculated for each significant alrcraft intrusion, they may be summed on an energy basis to obtain the total. $I_{d n}$ due to aircraft

HThe constant of 49.4 equazs 10 Zog(number of seconds in a 24-hour day), or $10 \log (86,400)$.
operations. Mathematically this is expressed as follows:

$$
L_{\mathrm{dn}}=10 \log \sum_{1} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} 10^{\frac{L_{\mathrm{dn}}(1, j)}{10}}
$$

Close to an airport, the $L_{\text {dn }}$ contributions from aircraft will generally be much greater than that from other sources, hence the total $L_{d n}$ value due to aircraft will equal the total $L_{\text {dn }}$ value for the site. At distances further from the alrport, or near other major noise sources, the $L_{d n}$ values resulting from aircraft may not fully account for the noise exposure at the site. In such situations, noise from other sources must be taken into account in determining the total $L_{d n}$ for that site.

## D. Calculation Summary

In summary, the major steps in determining the day/ night level at any given position near the alrport are as follows:
(A) Obtain airport and aircraft operationui information to identify types of alreraft and types of operations that contribute to the noise environment at the desired position. Also determine the distance of the aircraft from the ground position and the number of operations for each type of aircraft.
(B) Determine the SEL for each contributing noise event using the noise charts given in this manuai.
(C) Compute the partial $L_{d n}$ value for each significant class of nolse events from the SEL and number of events per day and night.
(D) Add atz the partial $L_{d n}$ values together to obtain the total $L_{d n}$ for aircraft operations.

The next section will describe each of the above steps in detail with examples to 111 ustrate applications to real Iffe situations.

CALCULATION OF $L_{\text {dn }}$ VALUES AT A POINT
The basic problem to be solved is illustrated in Figure 2 for the simple case of a land parcel exposed to noise from takeoffs of a single type of aircraft. To determine the $L_{d n}$ for the land parcel, you must be able to identify the type of aircraft, the type of operation and the number of alrcraft noise intrusions per day and per night period. You must find out the location of the airport runway and the flight path with respect to the land parcel.

This section describes the calculation of $I_{d n}$ values at a single point. For smalil land parcels where the expected variation in noise levels throughout the land parcel is of the order of 1 dB or less, calculations at a single position should be sufficient. For larger parcels where the expected variation in noise is greater than $1 d B$, calculations at several points may be needed to adequately determine the noise exposure for the land under study. Section V-C provides guidelines for determining whether calculations at one or more positions are needed.

## A. Acquire Field Information

Before starting to collect information, a few words of advice may be appropriate for anyone not directly connected with the airport operations. In almost every case, the best source of information is the alrport manager; either he will have the information that you want at hand, or he can direct you to someone who has this information. If the airport has a control tower, the FAA tower personnel will also be a major source of information, and the alrport manager will probably pass your requests on to them.


PROBLEM: TO FIND DAY-NIGHT LEVEL (LDN ) FOR LAND PARCEL DUE TO AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS FROM AIRPORT

FIGURE 2. BASIC SITUATION FOR CALCULATION OF DAY-NIGHT AVERAGE LEVELS DUETO AIRCRAFT

This report also suggests alternate sources of information, If for any reason you wish to work independentiy of the alrport manager.

Data acquisition involves the following six steps:

1. Determine the physical layout of the airport runways and the length of the runways for the flight paths of concern.

Runway descriptions are given in FAA Form $5010^{9}$ and instrument approach proedures published by the National Ocean Survey and Jeppesen ${ }^{4}{ }^{5}$. Many alrports will also maintain plans containing runway information, and most alrports are also shown in Coast and Geodetic Survey (C \& GS) maps. Whatever the source, a check should be made to be sure that the alrport information is current.
2. Determine the location of the major filight tracks over the ground and the distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2} \cdot$.

The path of the alrcraft project on the ground is called the track. This track must be located to determine actual distances from the land parcel to the aircraft in flight. Once the track is determined, the distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, detailed in Figures 3 and 4 , should be calculated for each track.

For takeoffs, shown in Figure 3, $D_{1}$ is the distance along the flight track from the start of the takeoff roll to a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the point where the $L_{d n}$ is to be determined. If the flight track is


FIGURE 3. DEFINITION OF DISTANCES D1 AND D 2 FOR
curved, the distance $D_{1}$ must be measured along the arc of the curve. $D_{2}$ is the distance along the perpendicular drawn from the filght track to the desired position.

For landing, as shown in Figure 4, $D_{1}$ is the distance along the flight track from the landing threshold* to the perpendicular drawn from the fllight track through the land parcel. $D_{2}$ is the distance along the perpendicular from the flight track to the ground position.

Figure 5 provides a form for tabulating the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ distances. The maps and sketches which provide the basic track information from which the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ distances are determined should accompany this form.

Unfortuantely, alrcraft do not fly along filght tracks like cars along an expressway. The actual flight tracks flown tend to fan out from the nominal filght track as the aircraft get further from the alrport. Locating the turning point of just one aircraf't will not necessarily be representative of the mean flight track.
*The Zanding threshold identifies the beginning of the runway that is available and suitable for landings. For most runways the landing threshold coincides with the physical beginning of the runway. However, at some airports the landing threshold is displaced along the runway. This displaced threshold would be noted in the inotrument approach prooedure charts but will not be indicated on Coast and Geodetic Survey Maps.


Aircraft Flight Track on Ground

Several sources may have to be contacted to obtain reliable track information. Often, the best source of information will be the FAA tower or airport operations personnel. The appropriate takeoff and approach charts from the Jeppesen Guide ${ }^{5}$ may be of some help (these charts may be obtained individually from the publisher). Direct visual observation may be needed to resolve conflicting information, or to help determine the extent of variations in flight tracks for different árcraft.
3. Determine the types of aircraft producing the noise intrusions.

For convenience, aircraft are classif'led into different alrcraft types determined on the basis of their noise characteristics and operational characteristics. Table 1 presents the aircraft classification to be used in this report. The table lists the general aircraft types with specific examples. The aircraft types are listed approximately in order of their nolsiness. The airaraft range from the 4 engine turbofan alroraft such as the Boeing 707 and DC 8 series down to the smaller piston engine propeller aircraft such as the Cessna 180.

Most airports will handle operations of several types of alrcraf't. Start by determining the number of alrcraft operations for each type of aircraft beginning with the top of the list in the table. Where the number of jet aircraft operations (transport and general aviation) exceed more than $5 \%$ of the total operations, you need not obtain detailed information for the propelier alrcraft operations.

TARIE 1
NOTSE CHART CODES ASSOCIATED WTTH AJRCRAFT TYPES RANKED BY APPROXIMATE NOISINESS

| A1peraft Type | Afrerart Code | Typical Aircraft | ```Takeosf sEL rit \(15,000 \mathrm{ft}\) from Start ot: To dB``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Eneino hbir lurboran | 4-T-TFH | 747 | 121.5 | 108.3 |
| 4-Enfine l,bpr turbaran | 4-T-TFL | 707, DC-8 | 110.9 | 106.4 |
| 4-EngIne dBra turbofun (quade nacelle) | H-T-TFL(Q) | $\begin{aligned} & 707(Q N) \\ & D C-B(Q N) \end{aligned}$ | 109.7 | 97.2 |
| 3-linginu LBPR turbofan | 3-T-YFL | 727 | 109.6 | 101.1 |
| 3-Fngine labpr turbofan (quivt nacella) | 3-T-TFL (Q) | 727(QN) | 109.3 | 96.1 |
| 4-Engine HBPR turhofan (quiet nacelle) | 4-T-TFH(Q) | 747 (QN) | 108.6 | 103.1 |
| 2-Engine Composite Business Jet (turbojet/turbofan) | 2-0-TJ | Jetstar $I$, <br> Learjet 23-25, <br> Learjet 35-36, <br> Jetstar II | 104.9 | 101.3 |
| 2-Engine LBPR turbofan | 2-T-TPL | 737, DC-9 | 101.9 | 94.3 |
| 2-Engine LBPR turboran | 2-T-TFL(Q) | $\begin{aligned} & 737(Q N), \\ & D C=9(Q N) \end{aligned}$ | 101.9 | 93.1 |
| 3-Engine HBPR turboran | 3-T-TFU | L-1011, DC-10 | 101.8 | 98.8 |
| 4-Engine propelier | $4-T-P P$ | DC-4, DC-6́ | 98.8 | 90, 3 |
| 4-Engine turboprop | 4-T-TP | Electra | 97.8 | 92.1 |
| 2-Engine G.A.' turboprop | 2-G-TP | Twin otter, King Alr, Turbo Commander | 93.5 | 92.4 |
| 2-Engine O.A.' propeller (large) | 2-G-LPP | DC-3 | 92.5 | 87.3 |
| 2-Engine G.A.' propeller (small) | 2-0-SPP | Cesana 310-401 | 83.2 | 80.5 |
| 2-Engine $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A} \cdot{ }^{\prime}$ turbofan (small) | 2-0-TFS | Cesana Citation | -81.4 | 80.3 |
| 2-Engine G.A.' propeller | 1-G-PP | ```Cesena 150-210, Piper Cher,140- 235``` | - 81.8 | 72.9 |

${ }^{1}$ ATA (Air Transport nssociation) takeoff procedure at max, wetght category
${ }^{2} 3^{\circ}$ approach
Sencral aviation
4. Detemine the mode of operations (Takeoff or landing) for the aircraft producing noise instrusions.

Noise produced during takeoff and landing may differ considerably. Similarly, the flight paths followed by aircraft in landing and takeoff may differ significantly. For those reasons the number of operations for each class of aircraft must be identified by mode. If a detailed analysis is being performed, takeoff operations must also be broken down by trip length (see Section V-A).
5. Determine the number of operations per daytime and per nighttime period.

The average number of operations per day should be determined for each aircraft class and mode of operations. For most purposes, the number of operations over a one year period should be used as the basis for determining the average number per day. For some detailed investigations you may wish to consider the number of operations occurring over a shorter period. For example, when pronounced changes in aircraft operations occur during different seasons of the year, you might wish to calculate separate day/night levels for the different seasons.

Determining the number of operations for each alrcraft class and mode of operation may not be easy since detailed alrcraf't operational records are not usually available. The log of operations maintalned by the FAA tower personnel will provide the yearly number of alr carrier, alr taxi,
generel aviation and military operations.* However, the FAA records do not identify the type of alrcraft.

Sources of information for identifying transport alrcraft are the Official Alrline Guide** (OAG) and the flight schedules published by the individual airlines'. Some airports also provide a schedule of aircraft arrivals and departures. And, do not neglect to check on freight operations.

Discussion with the airport operator and tower personnel will generalily provide good estimates of the number of business jet and propeller aircraft operations.

The information as to number of operations obtained from different sources may be for differing time periods for example, daily, monthly or yearly totals. For calculation purposes, this information should be corrected to a common basis - the average number of takeoffs and landings per day. The number of daily takeoffs and landings should then be entered on a form (Figure 5).

[^0]

FIGURE 5. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART
6. Determine the flight path utilization.

Track utilization is defined as the proportion of operations in each direction along the flight track. Takeoff direction along the runway may well reverse during different periods of the day or year due to changes in wind direction or traffic needs. Sometimes the same flight track will be used for alrcraft departing and for aircraft landing.* In other cases, the flight track may differ for takeoff and landing operations. For example, the landing track may be straight into the runway while the takeoff may involve a turn after ifftoff.

Accurate information on runway or flight track utilization is sometimes difficult to obtain. This information may be provided by the airport operator, FAA tower or traffic personnel. Where detailed records are not available, estimates of track utilization may be obtained by studying wind rose information (see reference 6).

Obtaining the above information completes the collection of data for the basic $L_{\text {dn }}$ calculations. The information collected should be sufficient to complete the top seven rows of the data collection and calculation form (Figure 5).

At this point, it is important to check that the acquired information on numbers of takeoff or landings and
*Note that in this case although the same flight track is used, the distance $D_{1}$ will differ for takeoff and landing by the length of the runway.
path utilization are in the desired format. The values of $N_{D}$ and $N_{N}$ to'be entered in Figure 5 are the number of takeoffs or approaches per day along the specified track. Thus, if you know the total number of takeoffs of alrcraft type $f$ per day for the airport, and the utilization of track $k$, then the number of takeoffs of aircraft $J$ on track k is:

$$
N(j, k)=\frac{N(j) \cdot U(1, k)}{100}
$$

where $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})=$ Utilization of track $k$ by aircraft f , in percent.

The traffic data may not be given in the desired format, and there are certain pitfalls that are easily fallen into. Check, for example, whether the distributions of landings or takeoffs on each track are given as a percentage of all operations on that track, as a percentage of landings or takeoffs at the alrport, or as a percentage of all opeerations at the alrport. At each stage in the calculations, it is most important to check that the total number of operations is correct.

In general, calculating the number of operations to two significant figures is sufficient, but do not ignore nighttime operations just because they may be an order of magnitude less than daytime operations. As explained earlier, the number of nighttime operations is multipiled by 10 in the calculations, hence nighttime operations, when they occur, will likely be significant.
7. Special takeoff or landing procedures.

When making a detailed noise assessment, additional operational information may need to be acquired.
(a) Special noise abatement takeoffs

The basic noise charts assume ATA (Air Transport Association) takeoff procedures for civil jet transport operations. Special noise abatement procedures involving reduction of engine thrust as specific altitudes may elther be implemented at some alrports or may be contemplated as part of a noise reduction program. Information on the procedures including the description of the procedures and the alrcraft using the procedures should be collected. Section $V$ discusses the usage of such inform mation in detail.
(b) Special landing profiles

For basic calculations, assume a $3^{\circ}$ glide slope for all turbojet alrcraft and the larger propeller aircraft; for smaller propeller alrcraft, assume a 4-1/20. glide slope. For other approach angles, see Section V-B. In some cases, a two-segment approach may be used, in which alrcraft start their approach on a $6^{\circ}$ gilde slope and then transition to a $3^{\circ}$ glide slope at 2 nautical miles from the landing threshold. These approaches have special noise charts associated with them; these are identified in Section III-B.
8. Data collection example (Example 1).

Two small parcels of land $P$ and $Q$ are the subject of an investigation of aircraft noise at Mythical Airport (see Figure 6). Distances from the runway and flight tracks are as shown, and the following operational data have been collected:

- Total daily operations at airport: 150*
- Distrioution of landings or takeoffs on each track as percentage of all landings or takeoffs at alrport

|  | 27 A | 27 B | 09 A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Landing | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Takeoff | $30 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

- Distribution of operations by type of aircraft:

$$
B-727-70 \%
$$

$$
D C-9-30 \%
$$

- Distribution by time of day:

|  | Day | N1ght |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B-727 | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| DC-9 | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

*Note that this total is equal to 75 takeoffe and 75 landings.


FIGURE 6. EXAMPLE: FLIGHT TRACKS AT MYTHICAL AIRPORT

The first siep is to calculate the distance $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ for the points $P$ and $Q$ pertaining to each track. These distances are tabulated in Figure 7.

Remember that $D_{1}$ does not have the same value for takeoffs and landings. For takeof'fs, $D_{1}$ is measured along the track from the brake release point, which is the point when the alrcraft starts its takeoff roll (i.e. the far end of the runway for aircraft coming towards you). For landings, $D_{1}$ is measured to the landing threshold which is usually the near end of the runway, except in the case of a displaced threshold.

The values of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ can be meanured directly off Figure 6, and accuracy to two significant ifgures is quite adequate. In this example, both types of aircraft conveniently start their turn after takeoff at the same point. More often, however, the flight instructions would call for a turn at a given altitude, and since alrcraft have differing rates of climb, the turn would be initiated at different points.

The next step is to calculte the total number of operations at the a1rport broken down by type, i.e.

| $\mathrm{B}-727$ | $150 \times 0.7=105$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{DC-9}$ | $150 \times 0.3=-\frac{45}{150}$ |
|  | Total |

Break these numbers down into day and night operations:

|  | Day | Night | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-727 | $105 \times .75=79$ | $105 \times .25=26$ | 105 |
| DC-9 | $45 \times .80=36$ | $45 \times .20=9$ | 45 |
|  |  |  | 150 |

These aircraft must be distributed on each flight track:

Track 27A

|  | Landing |  | Takeoff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Day | N1ght | Day | Night |
| B-727 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3.9 |
| DC-9 | 0 | 0 | 5.4 | 1.4 |

Track 27 B

| B-727 | 4.0 | 1.3 | 24 | 7.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC-9 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 11 | 2.7 |

Track 09A

| B-727 | 36 | 12 | 4.0 | 1.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC-9 | 16 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 0.5 |

Check on total operations $=151.5$
(the discrepancy here is due to rounding error)

The data has now been broken down so that the $L_{\text {dn }}$ collection and calculation chart can be completely filled in (Figure 7).
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FIGURE 7 (b). LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART
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FIGURE 7 (c). LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART
B. Determine the SEL for each Contributing Aircraft Noise Event

1. Check the Distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$

The knowledge of $D_{1}$ and of the alreraft takeoff and landing profile determines height of the aircraft above ground (see Figures $3 \& 4$ ) as it passes near the ground position. You do not have to calculate the height. It is automatically calculated and taken into account in the noise charts.
2. Select the Proper Noise Chart

All the information has now been assembled for selection of the proper nolse charts. The selection of the noise chart is determined by:

- Alrcraft type (in accordance with Table 1 )
- Mode of operation (takeoff or landing)
- Distance $D_{1}$

The noise charts are listed in Appendix A. Each noise chart covers a range of the distance $D_{1}$, and several charts may be needed to cover the entire range of $D_{1}$ values for each alrcraft and mode of operation.

Noise charts are identified according to the code listed below. This shows an example of the coding used by the charts for the basic $I_{\text {an }}$ calculation:
$4-T-T F L(Q)-T O(I)-N-1$
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
(1) The first number identifies the number of engines in the alrcraft.
(2) This letter signifies either transport aircraft (T) or general aviation alrcraft (G).
(3) The next group of letters identifies the type of engine, as follows:

| $\underline{T}$ | - | Turbojet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TFL | - | Low bypass ratio turbof'an |
| TFH | - | High bypass ratio turbofan |
| TP | - | Turboprop |
| PP | - | Propeller driven by reciprocating engine |
| LPP | - | Propeller driven by reciprocating engine (large) |
| SPP | - | Propeller driven by reciprocating engine (smali) |
| TFS | - | Turbofan (small) |
| The eng1 | endix | (Q) signifies a quiet nacelle |

(4) The mode of operation is identified with a To for takeof'f and $L$ for landing. (I), (II) or (III) refers to the takeoff profiles associated with different flight trip lengths when a detailed noise analysis is being performed (see Section $V-A$ ). If the trip length is not known, use profile (II).
(5) This group of letters refers to the special procedure that may be used. The interpretation of the coding is as follows:

S Standard takeoff or landing
$N$ Northwest Orient Airlines noise abatement takeoff
F FAR Part 36 takeoff
IT Two-segment approach
(6) The last number of the code identifies the number in the set. The graphs within a set encompass different ranges of the distance $D_{1}$. For the turbojet and turbofian aircraft there are usually three graphs in a takeoff set and two graphs in a landing set. For the propeller alrcraft there are two graphs in a takeoff set and one graph in a landing set.

For a given type of alrcraft, look up the chart code in Table 1 (pagea6) which will give the first three groups of the code. If the operation is a takeoff and stage lengths are not known, use the chart with TO (II) in the code (if the stage lengths are known, see Chapter V). Check also whether the airport uses standard or special takeoff and landing procedures. Finally, look through the subset of charts for the chart that shows the appropriate range of $D_{1}$. The desired $S E L$ value is determined by first establishing the $D_{2}$ distance along the horizontal axis. A perpendicular is then drawn on the graph to intersect the proper $D_{1}$ value. The appropriate $D_{1}$ distance is established by interpolation between the bracketing $D_{1}$ curves. From
ihis intersection, a line is drawn horizontally to intersect the vertical. The point of intersection gives the proper SEL value.
C. Complete the partial $L_{d n}$ value

1. Calnulate the correction for the number of operations.

The SEL value for a given type of alroraft and mode of operation must now be adjusted for the number of times this particular type of aircraft flies along this track. This adjustment is made by subtracting the variable $K$ which is dependent on the number and distribution of day and night flights. The equation* for $K$ is 49.4-10 log ( $N_{D}+$ $10 N_{N}$ ) and its solution is graphed in Figure 8 . In Figure 8 , find the nearest whole number value of $K$ for the intersection of the number of daytime $\left(N_{D}\right)$ and nighttime ( $N_{N}$ ) operations for the given type of aircraft, mode of operation and track.
2. Calculate the partial $L_{d n}$ value.

The partial $L_{d n}$ value $\left[L_{d n}(i, j)\right]$ is simply the arithmetic difference of the SEL value found above and the appropriate $K$ value:

$$
L_{d n}(i, j)=\operatorname{SEL}(i, j)-K(i, j)
$$

The process 1 s now completed for obtaining an $L_{d n}(1, j)$
*See Equation 2, page?


FIGURE 8. CHART FOR DETERMINING THE ADJUSTMENT,"K"FOR NUMBER OF
value for class of alrcraft and mode of alrcraft operation J. Repeat for each major alrcraft type and mode of operation. Repetition will result in a set of $L_{d n}(i, j)$ values. The total alroraft noise environment is then the summation of these partial $L_{d n}$ values.
D. Add the partial $L_{d n}$ values to obtain the total $L_{d n}$
for aircraft operations

Since the partial $L_{\text {dn }}$ values are expressed in decibels, they cannot be added together by ordinary arithmetic. Instead "decibel addition" is involved." A chart for adding sound levels quite accurately by "decibel addition" is given in Figure 9. This chart can be used to an accuracy of 0.1 dB , but most applications will not require (nor Justify) this degree of precision. A more practical addition procedure for quickly estimating the sum of two or more decibel levels is given in the top of Table 2. The use of this table will yield a sum that has an accuracy within 1 dB . An accuracy within $1 / 2 \mathrm{~dB}$ can be obtained

[^1]

FIGURE 9'. CHART FOR COMBINING SOUND LEVELS BY "DECIBEL ADDITION"

## TABLE 2

RULES FOR COMBINING SOUND LEVELS BY "DECIBEL ADDITION"
A. For noise levels know or desired to an accuracy of $\pm 1$ decibel:
When two decibel

values differ by $\quad$| Add the following |
| :---: |
| amount to the |
| hlgher value |

B. For nolse levels known or desired to an accouracy of $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ decibel:
When two decibel
values differ by

Add the following amount to the higher value

| 0 or $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~dB}$ | 3 dB |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 or $17 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{dB}^{\text {d }}$ | 2\% dB |
| 2 to 3 dB | 2 dB |
| 32. to 4\% $\mathrm{CB}^{\text {a }}$ | 12 ${ }^{2} B$ |
| 5 to 7 dB | 1 dB |
| $7 \frac{1}{3}$ to 12 dB | \% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ B |
| 13 dB or more | 0 dB |

(For greater accuracy, refer to chart in Figure 5)
by using the lower half of Table 2. This degree of accuracy in comparing $L_{d n}$ values will generally be adequate for most calculations.

When a number of partial $I_{d n}$ values are to be added, using Table 2 or Figure 9, they should be added two at a time, starting with the lower valued levels and continuing the addition procedure of two at a time until only one value remains. To illustrate, suppose it is desired to add the following five levels, using the summation procedure of the upper portion of Table 2:


Addition of the partial $L_{\text {d }}$ values completes the procedure. Table 3 summarizes the basic calculation steps that have been described.

## E. Examples

The following two examples illustrate the procedure, the first for a single alrcraft operation and the second for multiple alreraft operations. Calculations for these examples are given in Figure 10.

Example 2 -

Consider a site exposed to noise from takeofls of 4-engine LBPR turbofan aircraft with the aircraft departing along. a curved flight path essentially as shown in Figure 3 (page22). There are twelve operations during daytime hours (between 7 am and 10 pm ) and two nighttime operations (between 10 pm and 7 am ). The standard ATA takeoff procedure is used but the stage lengths are unknown.

Measurement of the flight track on a map shows that the distance $D_{1}$ is 22,000 feet and the distance $D_{2}$ is 1000 feet.

Entering the takeoff chart 4-T-TFL-TO (II)-S-3 which covers $D_{1}$ distances from 18,000 to 190,000 feet and using distance $D_{2}$ value of 1000 feet, an SEL value of 101.3 dB is obtained. Note that a curve for $D_{1}$ equal to 22,000 feet is located twofifths of the distance betwee: the $D_{1}$ curves for 20,000 and 25,000 feet.

The interpolation here can be done by inspection or by calculation:
At $D_{2}=1000 \mathrm{ft}$.

| $\frac{D_{1}}{20,000}$ | $\frac{S E L}{202}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 25,000 | ION. |

Thus, the SEL for a $D_{1}$ of $22,000 \mathrm{ft}$. is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 102+\frac{22,000-20,000}{25,000-20,000} \cdot(1.8) \\
= & 102-\frac{2}{5}(1.8)=102-0.7=101.3
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 8, the "K" value for $N_{D}$ equal to 12 and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ equal to 2 is 34.5 dB . Therefore, as shown in column 1 of Figure 10 , the $I_{\text {dn }}$ is 66.8 dB .

Example 3 -

Consider the same site as in Example 2. The alrport is considering a change in aircraft departure paths, such that a large proportion of aircraft would make a "straight-out" departure as sketched in Figure 11. The total number of departures is unchanged. What w111 be the change in noise exposure at the site as a result of this change of operations? The needed operational information is tabulated in columns 2 and 3 of Figure 10. Eight daytime flights have been changed from flight path $A$ to flight path B. The two night operations have been split between the two paths.

The distance $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are tabulated in Figure 10. The SEL values from $4-T-T F L-T O(I)-S-3$ are also tabulated. The new flight path results in an SEL that 1 s 2.3 dB lower than that for flight path A.


FIGURE 10. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART


FIGURE 11. FLIGHT PATHS AND DISTANCES FOR EXAMPLE 3

Suttracting the "K" values obtained from Figure 10 results in partial $L_{d n}$ values of 63.3 dB and 62.0 dB . Adding the two partial $L_{d n}$ values by means of Table $2-B$ results in a total $L_{d n}$ of 65.8 dB as shown below.
$63.3-62.0=1.3 \mathrm{~dB}$. By Table $2-\mathrm{B}$,
2.5 dB should then be added to 63.3 dB
$63.3+2.5=65.8 \mathrm{~dB}$
(More precise calculations yield 65.7 dB. )

Thus, the change in filght paths has resulted in a decrease in noise exposure at the site by 1 dB .

The procedures involved in this section are summarized In Table 3.

TABLE 3. DAY-NIGHT LEVEL CALCULATION SUMMARY

1. Obtain operational input information:

- Alrcraft type
- Mode of operations: takeoff or landing
- Number of operations for:

Daytime ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ )
Nighttime ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ )

- Flight track location in the ground

2. From a filght track map, obtain distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ (see Figure 2)
3. Select proper aircraft noise chart, based on:

- Alrcraft type
- Mode of operation
- Distance $D_{1}$

4. From the noise chart, determine the alrcraft sound exposure level (SEL) by entering the chart using distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$.
5. Obtain the " $K$ " value for number of operations from Figure 8, using $N_{D}$ and $N_{N}$.
6. Subtrack $K$ from SEL to obtain the partial $L_{d n}$.
7. Repeat Steps 1 through 6 for each separate alrcraf't type and mode of operations that would contribute to the noise exposure at the land parcel.
Thus, for each alrcraft type 1 , and mode of operation $j$, one will obtain a corresponding $L_{d n}(1, j)$.
8. "Add" the $L_{d n}(i, j)$ values using Table 2 or Figure 5 to obtain the total aireraft $I_{d n}$.

## SECTION IV

## METHOD FOR DETERMINING APPROXIMATE $L_{d n}$ CONTOURS FOR A SINGLE FLIGHT PATH

## A. Calculate the Equivalent SEL Value

The procedures given in this manual enable you to calculate the $L_{\text {dn }}$ value at a given ground position. They do not provide an efficient way to determine contcurs (lines of equal $L_{d n}$ values) which may cover quite large land areas. As noted earlier, standard computer programs are available for generating $L_{d n}$ contours.

For some planning purposes, it may be desirable to make an estimate of contour size and dimensions for a specific flight path. To accomplish this limited aim, this section outlines a way of determing the approximate size and area of a specified $L_{d n}$ contour. The basic approach lies in determining the dominant mode of operation (takeoff or landing), the most significant aixcraft in terms of noise contribution for that path, and then calculating an equivalent $S E L$ corresponding to the desired $L^{\prime \prime}$ dn values for which contours are needed. Once the equivalent SEL value is determined, the approximate contours can be determined, as outlined in Section IV-B.

This procedure has several limitations. $L_{d n}$ contours may be influenced by both takeoff and landing operations but in this method, only the dominant mode of operation is considered. Another limitation is that in many locations, the $L_{d n}$ values are influenced by contributions from more than one flight path; this procedure is based upon noise from aircraf't on only one flight path.

The method for calculating the equivalent SEL value i.s described in the following seven steps:

1. Identify the aircraft types and number of takeoffs and landings per day for the three "noisiest" classes of alrcraft. In ranking the aircraft in terms of their contributions to the total nolse environment, use the ranking of aircraft given in Table *. $^{*}$

If takeoff operations comprise $40 \%$ or more of the total operations, omit calculations for aircraf't on approach. If takeoff operations comprise less than $30 \%$ of the operations, neglect the takeoff operations. Where takeoffs range between $30 \%$ and $39 \%$ carry out calculations for both takeoff and landing aircraft.
2. List tic lhree classes of aireraft identified in step 1 In the form given in Figure 5 together with the number of day and night takeoff's or landings for each aircraft. Also, list the appropriate takeoff or landing SEL values from Table 1 for each aircraft. This value is identified as the reference $S E L$ value ( $S E L_{R}$ ) for that alrcraft and mode of operation.
> *Table 1 liste the typical noise levels at a distance approximately 15,000 feet from start of takeoff or 6, 000 from threshold on landing threshold. The relative ranking of contributions may well change for different positions hence the listing in Table $I$ is to be used for the purposes of this analysis only.
3. Determinc from Figure 3 the adustment factor "K" for the number of day and night operations and list on Figure 5.
4. For each alrcraft class, subtract the $K$ values from the partial day/night levels.

$$
\left(L_{d n}\right)_{R}=S E L_{R}-K \quad \ldots(4.1)
$$

5. Add the partial $L_{d n}$ values as described in Section III-C to obtain the reference $L_{d n}$ value for takeoffs and/or for landings. In cases where both landing and approach $L_{d n}$ values have been calculated (see Step l), compare the landing and takeoff values. If the landing value exceeds the takeoff $L_{\text {dn }}$ value by move than $3 d B$ neglect the takeoff value in future calculations. If this is not the case, neglect the landing values in further calculations.

This assessment results in selection of the dominant mode of aireraft operation and establishes the reference $L_{d n}$ value.
6. Identify the dominant aircraft, which is the aircraft that has the highest partial $L_{d n}$ value for the dominant mode of operation.
7. Obtain the equivalent $S E L, S_{E}$, for the reference $L_{d n}$ value. The equivalent $S E L$ for the dominant aircraft is calculated as follows:

$$
S E L_{E}=\left[L_{d n}-\left(L_{d n}\right)_{R}\right]+S E L_{R} \ldots(4.2)
$$

where $L_{d n}$ is the level whose contour you wish to calculate
$\left(L_{d n}\right)_{R}$ is the reference value of $L_{d n}$
$S E L_{R}$ is the reference value for the dominant aircraft

The $\operatorname{SEL}_{E}$ contour size and shape is approximately the same as the reference $L_{d n}$ contour. The $\operatorname{SEL}_{E}$ is then used in Section IV-B to calculate the size and area of the $L_{d n R}$ contour.

Example $4(a)$ You want to determine the approximate size and area for the $L_{d n}=60$ contour for operations along the track $27 B$ at Mythical A1rport (see Figure 6); ATA takeoffs are used, but stage lengths are unknown. The breakdown of operations on an average daily basis along this track 15 now as follows:

| Aircraft Type | Average Daily <br> Landings | Average Daily <br> Takeoffs |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 10 | 3 |
| Boeing 707 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 6 |
| DC-9 | 7 | 2 | 20 | 5 |
| Convair 440 | 5 | 1 | 16 | 3 |
| Twin Otter | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 |

Looking at the ranking of Table $I$, it can be seen that the Convair 440 and Twin Otter can be eliminated from further consideration, since only the three noisiest classes of aircraft are to be considered. Looking, therefore, at the 747,707 and $D C-9$, $1 t$ can be seen that there are a total of 58 takeoffs and 20 landings. Takeoffs comprise more then
$40 \%$ of all operations, so landings need not be considered. Write the values of $N_{D}$ and $N_{N}$ for each alrcraft type on the Data Collection Chart (Figure l2) and calculate the values of $K$. Using the reference SEL values ( $S E L_{R}$ ), calculate the partial $I_{d n}$ values at the reference distance using $\operatorname{SEL}_{R}$ values from Table $I$, then calculate the reference $L_{\text {dn }}$ by addition of the partial $L_{\text {dn }}$ values. This results in a reference $L_{\text {dn }}$ of 79.7. Finally, calculate the equivalent SEL using equation (4.2) so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E L_{E} & =(60-79.7)+107.5 \\
& =87.8
\end{aligned}
$$

This value of $\mathrm{SEL}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is used in the next section to calculate the shape for the $L_{d n}=60$ contour (in Example 6).

## B. Determine the Approximate Size of an SEL Contour

The procedure outilned in this subsection provides an approximate method of determining the width, length and area of an SEL contour for a specific aircraft operation (takeof'f or landing) or of determining an $L_{d n}$ contour using an equivalent $S E L$ value ( $S E L E_{E}$ ). The procedure somewhat overestimates the size of the actual contour since it considers only airmto-ground noise propagation and neglects the increased attenuation due to ground-to-ground propagation which occurs when the aircraft is at a low elevation angle With respect to the listener.*
*The increased attenuation of noise for ground-to-ground propagation will mainly reduce the size of the contour while the aircraft is on the ground auring takeoff roll.


TOTAL $L_{D N}-79.7$

FIGURE 12. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART EXAMPLES 4 \& 6

1. Select the proper nolse charts for the given alrcraft and mode of operation (if calculating an $I_{d n}$ contour, use the dominant aircraft and mode of operation). The set of curves can be identified as described in Section III-B.
2. Determine the approximate contour closure distance. The contour closure distance is the distance (from start of takeoff roll or from the landing threshold) at which the desired SEL value is attained along the flight track. This distance is identilied as $A$ in Figure 13.

The closure distance can be determined from the noise charts by finding the distance $D$, along the left vertical axis for the desired SEL value. (Note that since the closure distance is measured along the flight track, $D_{2}$ is zero). Interpolate between the $D_{1}$ curves to find the desired $D_{I}$ value, and identify this distance as $A$.
3. To find the maximum width of the SEL contour, determine the maximum value of $D_{2}$ for any value of $D_{1}$. To do this, draw a horizontal line on the chart(s) at the specified equivalcnt SEL value. Find the intersection with a $D_{1}$ curve that occurs farthest to the right of the chart. Read off $D_{2}$ on the horizontal axis corresponding to this intersection, and denote this as $B$. Where there is more than one chart to a takeoff or landing set, as will usually be the case, one should repeat this procedure for each chart, and take the largest value of $D_{2}$ as $B^{*}$.
*As indicated in Figure 13, this procedure will reault in determining $B_{1}$ the maximum width of the contour. At diatances closer to the runway the noise contour may be narrower than those calculated from the above method because of the influence of ground-to-ground propagation.

$\stackrel{\vdots}{7}$

figure 13. CONtOUR Closure distance a
4. Calculate the approximate area of the contour. The approximate area of the contour is found from the following expression:

$$
\text { Area }=\frac{\pi}{2} \times A \times B=1.57 \times A \times B . \ldots(4.3)
$$

This expression approximates the contour as a section of an elifpse. This area is greater than the actual contour size because the ground-to-ground transmission of sound is neglected.

Example 5 -

You wish to find the approximate area impacted by noise levels of 107 SEL and above as a result of standard takeoffs of 4 engine LBPR turbofan aircraft (707/DC-8). First, go to the approximate set of noise charts (4-T - TFL - TO(II) - S - $1,2,3$ ).

Figure 14, a copy of the second chart of this subset, shows that when $D_{2}$ is zero (i.e. looking along the vertical axis) an SEL of 107 occurs for a value of $D_{1} \simeq 15,000 \mathrm{ft}$. Now moving. horizontally along the line for $S E L=107$, it can be seen that the largest value of $D_{2}$ is about 1350 ft. (This occurs for $D_{1}=8000$, but there is no need to record this.) Check all the charts in the set to see that this is, in fact, the largest value of $\mathrm{D}_{2}$.


FIGURE 14. DETERMINING A AND B FOR CONTOUR AREA CALCULATIONS - EXAMPLE 5

$$
-56-
$$

```
Thus }A=15,500 ft
    B = I,350 f't.
Area Impacted = 1.57 \times 15,500 x 1,350
    = 32.9 < 106 ft. }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
```

Example 6 -

This is the continuation of Example 4 in which an SEL value of 87.8 was obtained as equivalent to an $L_{d n}$ value of 60 . Turn to the takeoff noise charts for the dominant aircraft ( $4-T-T F L-T O(I I)-S)$; the third chart of the series shows a contour closure distance, $D_{1}$, along the $y$ axis of $90,000 \mathrm{ft}$. The maximum width, shown on the second chart in the series is $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$. This results in a contour area of $14 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{ft} .^{2}$

## SECTION V

ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES FOR DETAILED NOISE ASSESSMENT OR NON-STANDARD FLIGHT PROFILES
A. Use of Special Charts for Differing Takeoff Weights

The gradient of the takeoff flight path affects the noise lmpact on the ground, and this gradient depends upon the takeoff welghts of the aircraf't. The takeoff weight depends on the amount of fuel carried, which in turn depends on the stage length of the particular filght.

For detailed noise calculations, takeoffs for each class of medium or long renge alrcraf't should be further broken down by stage length for that trip. The categories of stage length depend on the actual type of aircraft and are shown in Table 4. The data collection form should be completed in the format as shown in Figure 15. The noise chart should then be selected that has the same Roman numeral in the fourth code group as the stage length category code.

## B. Adjustment for Non-Standard Approach Glide Slope Angles

The approach noise charts for a jet aircraft given in this handbook assumes a $3^{\circ}$ glide slope, and although this glide slope is found at many airports some exceptions may occur. This sub-section provides a method for adjusting the noise charts to predict SEL values for other glide slopes.

Besides a change in flight geometry which results in a change in the height of the aircraft at a given position

TABLE 4
STAGE LENGTHS ASSOCIATED WITH TAKEOFF CATEGORIES

| A1rcraft Code | Category I | Stage Length <br> Category II |  |  | Category III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-T-TFL | $0-1000$ | $1000-2000$ | $>2000$ |  |  |
| 3-T-TFL | $0-500$ | $>500$ |  |  |  |
| 2-T-TFL | $0-500$ | $>500$ |  |  |  |
| 4-T-TFH | $0-1000$ | $1000-2000$ | $>2000$ |  |  |
| 3-T-TFH | $0-1000$ | $1000-2000$ | $>2000$ |  |  |
| 4-T-TP |  | ALL |  |  |  |
| 4-T-PP |  | ALL |  |  |  |
| 2-G-TJ |  | ALL |  |  |  |
| 2-G-TFS |  | ALL |  |  |  |
| 2-G-TP |  | ALL |  |  |  |
| 2-G-SPP |  |  |  |  |  |
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FIGURE 15. LDN DATA COLLECTION FOR DIFFERING TAKEOFF CATEGORIES
under the flight path, a change fin glide slope also may involve changes in air speed and engine thrust which also produce changes in the SEL values. The method described provides adjustments for the geometric changes but does not provide adjustments for air speed and engine thrust changes that may accompany the change in glide slope. For minor changes in glide angle, the air speed and thrust changes are likely to be quite small. For large angle changes, thrust and alr speed changes may affect the nolse levels appreciably.

Given a glide slope of $x^{0}$ and a position along a perpendicular to the flight path at a distance $D_{1}(x)$, the adjustment to the noise charts consists of finding the equivalent $D_{1}\left[\left(D_{1}\right)_{E}\right]$ for a $3^{\circ}$ glide slope that has the same height (as illustrated in Figure 16). For glide slopes greater than 3 degrees, the equivalent distance will be larger distance than the actual $D_{1}(x)$; for glide slopes less than $3^{\circ}$, the $\left(D_{1}\right)_{E}$ will be less.

Figure 17 provides a simple nomograph for finding the equivalent $D_{1}$ distance to be used in the nolse charts for glide slopes ranging from 2.5 to $7^{\circ}$. This nomograph simply solves the followine equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{1}\right)_{E}=D_{1} \frac{\tan x^{\circ}}{\tan 3^{\circ}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIGURE 16. EQUIVALENT D, FOR NON-STANDARD OPERATION


Example -

For a glide slope of $4^{\circ}$ and distance $D_{1}$ of $25,000 \mathrm{ft} .$, find the equivalent $D_{1}$ for a $3^{\circ}$ glide slope. In Figure 17, draw a line through 25 on the left vertical scale ( $D_{1}$ at $\theta_{N}$ ) and through $\theta_{N}=4^{\circ}$. This line intersects the right vertical scale ( $D_{1}$ at $3^{\circ}$ ) at $33,600 \mathrm{ft}$.
C. Guidance in Determining the Number of Positions for $L_{\text {dn }}$ Calculations

For a small parcel of land calculation of the $L_{a n}$ at one position is sufficient. For a larger parcel, where there may be a significant variation within the parcel boundaries, calculation at more than one point may be desirable. The practical question to be answered is, "What is a small land parcel?" This question can be answered by considering the accuracy of the calculations and the magnitude of the variations in noise levels that is felt to be significant. In the guidelines to follow, we shall consider, a small parcel as one where the expected variation in $L_{\text {dn }}$ or SEL values WIII be of the order of 1 dB or less. For such parcels, calculation at one position should be sufficient. A larger parcel is one where it is anticipated that the variation $\ln$ noise levels will be larger than 1 dB . Given this definition, general guidelines can be developed that will apply for the cases where the aircraft is airborne -- the guidelines will not generally be accurate for positions off to the side of the runway where the land parcel is exposed to noise from aircraf't generated as the aircraf't moves along the runway prior to taking off.


FIGURE 18. DEFINITION OF DISTANCES FOR DETERMINING LAND PARCEL "SIZE"

1. Determine $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ distances. Determine $D_{1}$ and $D_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and $D_{2}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ as shown in Fifure 18. Note that $D_{1}$ is the distance along the flight track to a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the edge of the parcel nearest the runway; $D_{2}$ ' is the distance to the edge of the parcel farthest from the runway. Similarly, $D_{2}$ is the distance from along a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the edge of the parcel neareat the flight track and $D_{2}$ ' is the distance to the edge of the parcel farthest from the flight track. Thus $D_{1}$ will always be large than $D_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}$ will always be larger than $D_{2}$.

With the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ distances determined, the formal guidelines described in the following steps may not be necessary in determining the need for calculating $L_{d n}$ values at more than one position. One may simply estimate the expected variation in noise over the land parcel by inspection of the appropriate SEL charts, using the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ values determined in this step.* Where several aircrait are involved, select the SEL charts for the aircraft likely to be the dominant aircraft, i.e. the aircraf't likely to have the $h 1 g h e s t$ partial $L_{d n}$ value.
2. Determine adjusted $D_{1}$ values. To apply the guidelines, the measured $D_{1}$ values, as obtained above, must be adjusted to represent the actual distance along the flight track from the point at which the aircraft lef't the ground.

[^2](a) For landings, the adjusted $D_{1}$ values ( $D_{1}$ * and $D_{1}{ }^{*}$ ) are equal to the measured $D_{1}$ values plus 950 ft .
(b) For takeoffs, adjusted $D_{1}$ values are equal to measured $D_{1}$ values minus the distance from start of takeoff roll to the point at which the alrcraft leaves the ground (liftoff point). The liftoff distance which is, of course, always less than the runway distance may be estimated from Figure $B-3$ through $B-9$ given in Appendix B. (High precision is not needed.)
3. Apply size tests. Calculate the quantity $d$ where:
$$
\mathrm{d}=\frac{\mathrm{D}_{1}^{1 *}-D_{1}^{*}}{\mathrm{D}_{1}^{*}}
$$

If $d$ is less than 0.12 the parcel may be considered to be small with regard to variations in noise along the direction of the flight track. If $d$ is equal to 0.12 or greater, the parcel should be considered large and calculations at more than one position should be considered.

To estimate the variation in levels perpendicular to the flight track, enter Figure 19, with the two quantities:
$\frac{D_{1}{ }^{*}}{D_{2}}$, measured along the horizontal axis

$$
\frac{D_{2}^{\prime}-D_{2}}{D_{2}} \text {, measured along the vertical axis }
$$



FIGURE 19: GUIDANCE CHART FOR DETERMINING LAND PARCEL "SIZE" WITH RESPECT TO SEL OR La VARIATIONS

[^3]
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APPENDIX A
SEL CHARTS

## APPENDIX A

This appendix contains the sound exposure level (SEL) charts needed for calculation of day-night levels ( $L_{d n}$ ) for civil aircraft operations. The selection of the appropriate noise chart is determined by:

- Alrcraft type
- Mode of operation (takeoff or landing)
- Distance $D_{1}$ *

Alrcraft types for which SEL information is provided are identified in Table $\mathrm{A}-1$ of this appendix. The types are listed in order of approximate noisiness. Table A-2 provides an index to the individual noise charts.

Each noise chart covers a range of the distance $D_{1}$, and several charts may be needed to cover the entire range of $D_{1}$ values for each alrcraft and mode of operation.

Noise charts are identified according to the code listed below. This shows an example of the coding used by the charts for the basic $L_{\text {dn }}$ calculation:

$$
4-T-T F L(Q)-T O(I)-N-1
$$

(1) (2)
(3)
(4)
(5) (6)
(1) The first number identifies the number of engines in the aircraft.

[^4](2) This letter signifies either transport airoraft (T) or general aviation aircratt (G).
(3) The next group of letters identifiles the type of engine, as follows:

> TJ - Turbojet

TFL - Low bypass ratio turbofan
TFH - High bypass ratio turbofan
TP - Turboprop
PP - Propeller driven by reciprocating engine
LPP - Propeller. driven by reciprocating engine (large)
SPP - Propeller driven by reciprocating engine (small)
TFS - Turbofan (small)
The appendix (Q) signifies a quiet nacelle engine
(4) The mode of operation is identified with a TO for takeoff and $L$ for landing. (I), (II) or (III) refers to the takeoff profiles associated with different flight stage lengths when a detailed noise analysis Is being performed (see Section $V-A$ ). If the stage length is not known, use profile (II).
(5) This group of letters refers to the special procedure that may be used. The interpretation of the coding is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{S}- & \text { Standard takeof'f } \\
\underline{N}- & \text { Northwest Orient Alrlines noise } \\
& \text { abatement takeoff }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
F - FAR Part 36 takeoff
I - Two-segment approach
```

(6) The last number of the code identifies the number in the set. The graphs within a set encompass different ranges of the distance $D_{1}$. For the turbojet and turbofan aircraft there are usually three graphs in a takeoff. set and two graphs in a landing set. For the turbojet and turbofan aircraf't there are usually three graphs in a takeoff set and two graphs in a landing set. For the propeller alrcraft there are two graphs in a takeoff set and one graph in a landing set.
quble $\mathrm{A}-1$
notse chart codes associated witi afrcrapt types ranked by approximate noisimegs

| Almeraft type | Mreraft Code | Typical Arrcraft St | Takuoff SEL, at stant nt from fron dh |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-Engelne HiPR turbofan | 4-T-TFH | 747 | 111.5 | 108.3 |
| Mangine lampr turbofan | 4-T-7FL | 707. DC-8 | 110.9 | 106.4 |
| H-Engine hapr turbofan (quiet: nacelie) | 4-T-TPL(Q) | $\begin{aligned} & 707 \text { (QN) } \\ & \mathrm{DC}-8(\mathrm{OH}) \end{aligned}$ | 109.7 | 97.2 |
| 3-Enctor tapr turbafan | 3-T-TFL | 727 | 109.6 | 101.1 |
| 3-Fngino J,BPR turbofan (rulet nacelle) | 3-T-TFL ( 0 ) | 727(QN) | 109.3 | 96.1 |
| 4-Engine MEPR turbofan (quiet nacelle) | 4-T-TFII(Q) | 747 (QN) | 108.6 | 103.1 |
| 2-Engine Composite Buainess Jet (turbo,let/turboran) | $2-0-9 \mathrm{~J}$ | ```Jetstar I, Learjet 23-25, Learjet 35-36, Jetstar II``` | 104.9 | 101.3 |
| 2-Engine LBPR turboran | 2-T-TFL | 737, DC-9 | 101.9 | 94.3 |
| 2-Engine Lbir curbofan | 2-T-TPL(Q) | $\begin{aligned} & 737(\mathrm{QH}), \\ & \mathrm{DC}-9(\mathrm{QH}) \end{aligned}$ | 101.9 | 93.1 |
| 3-Engine HEpr turbofan | 3-T-TFH | L-1012, DC-10 | 101.8 | 98.8 |
| 4 -Engine propeller | 4-T-pp | DC-4, DC-6 | 98.8 | 90.3 |
| 4-Engine turboprop | 4-T-TP | Electra | 97.8 | 92.1 |
| 2-Engine G.A,' turboprop | 2-0-TP | Twin Otter, King Mir, Turbo Commander | 93.5 | 92.4 |
| 2-Engine O.A. ${ }^{3}$ propelier (large) | 2-6-LPp | DC-3 | 92.5 | $8 \% .3$ |
| 2-Engine $a^{\text {a }}$ A, ${ }^{\prime}$ propeller (small) | 2-a-Spp | Cesma 310-401 | 83.2 | 80.5 |
| 2-Engine G.A.' turbofan (small) | 2-G-TFS | Cessna citation | 81.4 | 80.3 |
| l-Engine G.A.' propeller | 1-6-P? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cossna } 150-210, \\ & \text { P1per Cner } 140= \\ & \text { ? } 35 \end{aligned}$ | , 81.8 | 72.9 |

${ }^{1} A T A$ (A1r Transport Association) takeoff procedure at max. weteht category ${ }^{2} 3^{\circ}$ approach
${ }^{3}$ General aviation

TABLE A-2
Index of Noise Charts

| Alreraft Types | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aircraft } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Pages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Takeoff | Approach |
| 4-Engine LBPR turbofan | 4-T-TFL | 80-106 | 107-110 |
| 4-Engine LBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle) | $4-T-T F L(Q)$ | 111-137 | 138-141 |
| 3-Engine LBPR turbofan | 3-T-TFL | 142-159 | 160-163 |
| 3-Engine LAPR turbofan (quitet nacelle) | 3-T-TFL (Q) | 164-181 | 182-185 |
| 2-Engine LBPR turbofan | 2-T-TFL | 186-203 | 204-207 |
| 2-Engine LBPR turbofian (quiet nacelle) | 2-T-TFL (Q) | 208-225 | 226-228 |
| 4-Engine HBPR turbof'an | 4-T-TFH | 229-255 | 256-259 |
| 4-Engine HBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle) | 4-T-TFH (Q) | 260-286 | 287-290 |
| 3-Englne HBPR turbofan | 3-T-TFH | 291-327 | 318-321 |
| 4-Englne turboprop | 4-T-TP | 322-324 | 325-326 |
| 4-Engine propeller | 4-T-PP | 327-329 | 330-331 |
| 2-Engine GA turbojet | 2-G-TJ | 332-334 | 335-336 |
| 2-Engine GA turbofan | 2-G-TFS | 337-338 | 339 |
| 2-Engine GA turboprop | 2-G-TP | 340-341 | 342 |
| 2-Engine GA propeller(large) | 2-G-LPP | 343-344 | 345 |
| 2-Engine GA propeller(small) | 2-G-SPP | 346-347 | 348 |
| l-Engine GA propeller | 1-G-PP | 349-350 | 35.1 |
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APPENDIX B

## DEVELOPMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT SEL CHARTS

APPENDIX B
DEVELOPMENI OF THE ATRCRAFT SEL CHARTS

This appendix briefly describes the analytical model used to develop the SEL curves presented in this handbook. The appendix also summarizes the noise and alrcraft profile information and data sources. More extensive information and details may be found in the noted references.
A. Noise Model Assumptions

The SEL curves given in the handbook were developed from two basic types of information:
(a) Noise level curves showing the varlation of SEL values as a function of distance from the aircraft at known air speed and thrust conditions.
(b) Takeoff and landing profiles for each alreraft showing the height of the alrcraft above ground as a function of distance from start of takeoff roll (or landing threshold).

From a knowledge of the flight profiles, one can determine the distances between the alrcraft and the observer at any point underneath or to one slde of the alroraft (see Figure 2, for example). Knowing the altitude and distance of the observer from the ground track (which form two sides of a right-angled triangle), one can calculate the distance from the observer to the alrcraft.

For each alrcraft, SEL curves were developed for both air-to-ground and ground-to-ground propagation in accordance with the simple analytic models given in Reference 1. These curves assume alr absorption for standard day conditions ( $59^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 70 RH ), as provided in SAE ARP 866A. The groundtomground curves also assumed excess ground absorption and a 5 dB offset to account for partial shielding of the aircraft noise sources at low angles of elevation.

The transition between air-to-ground and ground-to-ground propagation is based upon the angle of elevation between the aircraft at the closest polnt of approach and ground observer. This angle, 0 , is used to determine a transition coefficient, $T$, where $T$ is a function of $\theta$ and varies between 0 and 1.

For $\theta$ equal or less than $4.3^{\circ}, T=1$ and the ground-toground propagation curve is used. For angles of $\theta$ or greater than or equal $7.2^{\circ}, T=0$ and the a1r-to-ground propagation curve is used. For intermediate angles, ( $4.3^{\circ}<\theta<7.2^{\circ}$ ), the following function is used: $T=2.5-0.3491 \cdot \theta$.

No correction is used for the possible fuselage shielding effects of multi engine aircraft at ground positions to the side of the aircraft fllght track.

These assumptions are identical to those used in the NOISEMAP computer program developed for the A1r Force and currently used for both civil and military aircraft $L_{d n}$ calculations. ${ }^{2}$ It should be noted that different assumptions as to the transition between air-to-ground ground-to-ground propagation and shielding may be employed in other computer programs. ${ }^{9}$

## B. Noise Data

For the larger civil transport alrcraft, sets of noise curves (SEL as a function of distance) were available for different engine thrusts and air speeds. At specified points along the flight path, changes were made to basic noise levels to allow for differences in alrcraft speed and thrust from the reference conditions. For the smaller aircraft, generalized takeoff and lanilng noise vs. distance curves were available. Simpliried takeoff profiles were used requiring fewer adjust... ments of noise level data.

The major source of noise data was Reference 4. This information was supplemented by generalized curves for general aviation alrcraft provided in Reference 5. Figure B-1. shows a typical set of nolse data for one of the larger civil aircraft. and Flgure $\mathrm{B}-2$ shows a set of a composite data used for the smaller general aviation propeller alreraft.

## C. Performance Data

For larger civil aircraft, basic takeoff profiles were developed for different operating gross weights assuming that the alrcraft follow ATA procedures. The interpretation of the ATA procedures used in developing these profiles is given In Table B-1. The ATA takeoff procedures used for the transpor aircraft are shown in Figures $\mathrm{B}-3$ through $\mathrm{B}-7$.

For other alroraft, generalized takeoff profiles were employed. These are shown in Figure B-8 and B-9.

A $3^{\circ}$ glide slope was assumed for the landing profiles of all jet alrcraf't and the heavier propeller aircraf't. For the smaller general aviation alreraft, anglide slope of $4.5^{\circ}$ was assumed.


FIGUREB-I. SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS DISTANCE-FOUR ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN AIRCRAFT - 707 AND DC-8 AIRCRAFT WITH JT3D SERIES ENGINES


FIGURE B-2. SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS DISTANCE - GENERAL AVIATION PROPELLER AIRCRAFT


FIGURE B-3. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR 4-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT - DC-8, 707 SERIES (4-T-TFL)


FIGURE B-4. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR 3-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT-727 SERIES (3-T-TFL)


FIGURE B-5. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROCEDURES FOR 2-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN AIRCRAFT - DC-9, 737 SERIES $(2-T-T F L)$


FIGURE B-6. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR 4-ENGINE HBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT - 747 SERIES ( $4-T-T F H$ )


FIGURE E-7. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR 3-ENGINE HBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT - DC-10, L-1011 SERIES (3-T-TFH)


FIGURE B-8. GENERALIZED TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR TWO AND FOUR ENGINE HEAVIER PROPELLER AIRCRAFT


FIGURE B-9. GENERALIZED TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR BUSINESS JET AIRCRAFT AND SMALLER GENERAL AVIATION PROPELLER AIRCRAFT

Reference 1 provides most of the basic data for developing the takeoff profiles and for determining thrusts for the takeof'f and landing operations of the larger jet aircraft.

## D. Special Takeoff Profiles

For the civil turbofan transport aircraft, SEL curves are provided for two possible noise abatement procedures. One special procedure $1 s$ based on that development by Northwest Orient Alrilnes." The other special procedure is based on the allowable thrust cutback permitted by FAR 36. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The interpretations of these procedures are given in Table B-1.

Figure $\mathrm{B}-10$ shows a comparison of the three procedures (ATA, NWO Alrilnes and FAR 36) for a 4-engine LBPR transport aircraft ( $707 / \mathrm{DC}-8$ ). It should be noted that the handbook graphs are based on thrust cutbacks at the heights specified in Table B-l. Cutbacks at these heights may not represent the "optimum" noise abatement procedure for alleviating noise at individual airports.

TABLE B-1 TAKEOFF PROCEDURES ASSUMED FOR PROFILES OF CIVIL TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT


1. Engine thrust adjusted for operating gross weight


FIGURE B-10. A COMPARISON OF TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR FOUR-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT - DC-8, 707 SERIES
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## POSTAGE AND FEES PAID ENVIRONMENTAL. PROTECTION AGENCY


[^0]:    " For airports having FAA towers, this information is pubZished in "FAA Air Traffia Activity", a publioation isaued by the FAA usually on both a calendar and a fiacal year basis.
    ** Published monthly by the Reuben $H$. Donnelley Corporation, 2000 Clearwater Drive, Oak Brook, IlZinois 60521

[^1]:    *Remember that the decibel scale is logarithmic and expresses the ratio of two quantities related to power (with one quantity being a reference value). When $L$ dn values to be combined are ("deaibel addition"), the values are combined on an energy basis. The rigorous procedure for doing this is to convert the deoibel numbers to relative powers, to add the powers and then convert back to the corresponding decibels. The availability of seientific calculators that have $10^{x}$ and $\log _{10^{x}}$ functions makes decibel addition very easy and precise.

[^2]:    *This approach must be used to estimate the variation in levela for a parael exposed only to noise from airoraft during the takeoff roll.

[^3]:    Locate the point on the figure. If this point falls below the appropriate curve (takeof'f or approach) the parcel should be considered as small and hence has an expected variation of 1 dB or less. If the point falls above the appropriate curve, the expected variation may well be more than $I \mathrm{~dB}$, and more than one calculation position should be considered. If the value of $D_{1} * / D_{2}$ is less than 1 for takeoffs, $\left(D_{2}^{\prime}-D_{2}\right) / D_{2}$ should be less than the 0.1 for the parcel to be considered small. Similarly, for the approach, when $D_{1} * / D_{2}$ is less than 2.4, $\left(D_{2}^{\prime}-D_{2}\right) / D_{2}$ should be less than 0.1 for a small parcel.

[^4]:    *Distances $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are defined in the text (See Figures $\overrightarrow{3}$ and 4).

