



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Dear Reader:

Aircraft noise is now a significant problem for many people living near airports. Part of the solution to this problem can be found in abatement actions which are specific to individual community/ airport situations. Adoption of such airport specific actions must be preceded by the analysis and depiction of both current situations and all feasible abatement options.

Until now, the analysis of noise abatement options has often been equated with complex and expensive computer operations, the cost of which may be beyond the capabilities of some airport proprietors, involved communities, or local land use planners. This manual will allow one to calculate, on an airport specific basis, the day-night average sound level (L_{dn}) which results from civil aircraft operations and thus predict the noise impact in areas near the airport. This "desk calculation" of aircraft noise levels was adapted from the type of computer systems now in use by both the Federal Aviation Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency.

We at EPA believe that this manual desk calculation process is a major step forward with respect to aviation noise abatement and compatible land use planning.

Charles L. Elkins Deputy Assistant Administrator for Noise Control Programs

EPA 550/9-77-450

CALCULATIONS OF DAY-NIGHT LEVELS (Ldn) RESULTING FROM CIVIL AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

JANUARY 1977

Prepared For:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Noise Abatement and Control

Under Contract No. 68-01-3218

This report has been approved for general availability. The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein, and do not necessarily reflect the official views or policy of EPA. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

SUMMARY

A method is described for calculating values of Day/Night Levels (L $_{dn}$) at a point due to aircraft operations from civil airports. Two levels of sophistication are detailed -- at the basic level, such factors as type of takeoff and landing procedures are considered, but aircraft range and non-standard approach glide slopes are excluded; the detailed method takes account of the latter parameters. The procedure is to determine distances between the point in question on the ground and the aircraft flight tracks and runway. A series of charts provided in the report give ${\rm L}_{\rm dn}$ values for different types of aircraft in terms of these distance parameters. An adjustment is made to the noise levels for each class of aircraft to take account of the number of operations, and these adjustment levels are then added logarithmically to producce an overall noise level. This method is not suitable for generating noise contours (lines of equal L_{dn} value) although a method is described for estimating area and size of any given contour.

111

CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW	. 5
	A. Sound Exposure Level (SEL)	6
	B. Calculation of Partial L _{dn} Values	б 8
	D. Calculation Summary	9
III.	CALCULATION OF L_{dn} values at a point	11
	A. Acquire Field Information	11
	Aircraft Noise Event	32
	C. Complete the Partial L _{dn} Value	33
	D. Add the Partial L _{dn} Values to Obtain the Total L. for Aircraft Operations .	37
	E. Examples	110
IV.	METHOD FOR DETERMINING APPROXIMATE L _{dn}	
	CONTOURS FOR A SINGLE FLIGHT PATH	47
	Value	47
	B. Determining the Approximate Size of	•
	an SEL Contour	51
ν.	ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES FOR DETAILED NOISE ASSESSMENT OR NON-STANDARD FLIGHT PROFILES .	50
	A. Use of Special Charts for Differing	~~
	Takeoff Weights	59
	Glide Slope Angles	59

v

		•	Page
с.	Guidance in Determining the Number of		
	Positions for L_{dn} Calculations	••	65
REFERENCES		•	71
APPENDIX A.	SEL CHARTS	•	73
APPENDIX B.	DEVELOPMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT SEL CHARTS	• •	353

TABLES

Tables

1.	. Noise Chart Codes Associated with Aircraft Types						
	Ranked by Approximate Noisiness	8					
2.	Rules for Combining Sound Levels by						
	Decibel Addition"	9					
3.	Day-Night Level Calculation 4	6					
4.	Stage Lengths Associated with Takeoff						
	Categories	0					
	A-1. Noise Chart Codes Associated With Aircraft						
	Types Ranked by Approximate Noiseness 7	8					
	A-2. Index of Noise Charts	9					
	B-1. Takeoff Procedures Assumed for Profiles of						
	Civil Turbofan Transport Aircraft 36	8					

FIGURES

ł

i

Figur	es	
1.	Illustration of SEL Concept	7
2.	Basic Situation of Calculation of Day-Night	
	Average Levels Due to Aircraft	12
3.	Definition of Distances D_1 and D_2 for an	
	Aircraft Takeoff	14
4.	Definition of Distances D_1 and D_2 for an	
	Aircraft Approach	16
5.	L_{dn} Data Collection and Calculation Chart	21
6.	Example: Flight Tracks at Mythical Airport	26
7(a) ${f L}_{dn}$ Data Collection and Calculation Chart	
	(Example 1)	29
7(b) L _{dn} Collection and Calculation Chart	
	(Example 1)	30
7(c) L _{dn} Data Collection and Calculation Chart	
	(Example 1)	31

Fig	sures	Page
8.	Chart for Determining the Adjustment "K" for Number of Aircraft Operations During Day	
	and Night Periods	36
9۰	Chart for Combining Sound Levels by	
	"Decibel Addition"	38
10.	L _{dn} Data Collection and Calculation Chart	
	(Examples 2 & 3)	43
11.	Flight Paths and Distances for Example 3	44
12.	L _{dn} Data Collection and Calculation Chart	
	(Examples 4 & б)	52
13.	Contour Closure Distance A	54
14.	Determining A and B for Contour Area	
	Calculations - Example 5	56
15.	L _{dn} Data Collection for Differing Takeoff	
	Categories	61
16.	Equivalent D $_1$ for Non-Standard Operation	63
17.	Nomograph for Finding the Equivalent D.	
	(at 3°)for Different Glide Slopes	64
18.	Definition of Distances for Determining	
	Land Parcel "Size"	66
19.	Guidance Chart for Determining Land Parcel	<i></i>
	"Size" with Respect to SEL or L _{dn} Variations .	69
		- /

e

vii

lgures		Page
B-1	Sound Exposure Level Versus Distance 4-Engine LBPR Turbofan Aircraft - 707 and DC-8 Aircraft with JT3D Series Engine	358
B-2	Sound Exposure Level Versus Distance - General Aviation Propeller Aircraft	359
B-3	Generalized ATA Takeoff Profiles for 4-Engine LBPR Aviation Propeller Aircraft-DC-8, 707 Series(4-T-TFL)	360
B-4	Generalized ATA Takeoff Profiles for 3-Engine LBPR Turbofan Transport Aircraft - 727 Series (3-T-TFL)	361
B5	Generalized ATA Takeoff Procedures for 2-Engine LBPR Turbofan Aircraft - DC-9, 737 Series (2-T-TFL)	. 362
в-б	Generalized ATA Takeoff Profiles for 4-Engine HBPR Turbofan Transport Aircraft - 747 Series (4-T-TFH)	363
B-7	Generalized ATA Takeoff Profiles for 3-Engine HBPR Turbofan Transport - DC-10, L-1011 Series (3-T-TFH)	364
в-8	Generalized Takeoff Profiles for 2- and 4-Engine Heavier Propeller Aircraft	365
B-9	Generalized Takeoff Profiles for Business Jet Aircraft and Smaller General Aviation Propeller Aircraft	366
B-10	A Comparison of Takeoff Profiles for 4-Engine LBPR Turbofan Transport Aircraft - DC-8, 707 Series	369

F

CALCULATION OF DAY/NIGHT LEVELS DUE TO CIVIL AIRCRAFT FLIGHT OPERATION

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

This manual presents procedures and information for calculating the day/night noise level (L_{dn}) due to flight operations in the vicinity of civil airports. Use of this procedure and aircraft noise information presented in this manual will enable you to estimate the day/night noise level at specific ground locations resulting from aircraft takeoffs and landings at an airport. Charts are provided in the manual which provide noise information on most civil aircraft currently operating in the country. Noise charts are presented for takeoff and landing operations including special noise abatement procedures.

The basic steps in calculating day/night levels are relatively simple and straightforward. However, the number of calculations multiply by the number of types of aircraft and the kinds of operations involved, so calculations can be quite lengthy when calculating noise exposure near an airport where the noise is due to operations from several runways by a variety of aircraft.

The handbook provides information for estimating day/night average levels at two levels of precision:

- (a) a preliminary assessment when detailed information on aircraft operations is not available and
- (b) a detailed assessment when accurate information on aircraft flight operations and flight paths is known.

-1-

The latter assessment requires more extensive information on aircraft operations and may be more time consuming to calculate.

This manual has been especially developed for desk calculation of day/night levels values at specific ground locations. The procedures are not intended for developing noise exposure contours around an entire airport since the calculations would generally be too time consuming and laborious. When noise contours over a considerable area are needed, several computer programs are currently available and should be used.^{1,2}* The basic noise information provided in this manual is identical to that used in some of the other current computer programs for calculating day/night level contours.

This manual does not include information on military aircraft, hence it cannot be used for calculating L_{dn} values for a military airport or where military aircraft operate from a civil airport; information on noise from ground runup operations is also excluded from the handbook. Noise data are also restricted to fixed wing aircraft, so that noise from helicopter operations cannot be calculated. However, the basic calculation procedures can be extended to cover those aircraft simply by providing separate noise charts for the aircraft not covered in this manual.

This manual does not provide information on criteria, standards or guidelines for interpreting the day/night level

*References are listed together at the end of the text.

in terms of land use or community response. Such information may be found in application guides published by various local, state and federal agencies.

The next section of the manual provides a brief description of the calculation procedure. Section III describes how to calculate L_{dn} values at a point, and Section IV describes a method for determining approximate L_{dn} contours. Section V gives additional procedures for detailed noise assessment or non-standard flight profiles. The noise information to be used in calculating the day/night levels is provided in Appendix A. Appendix B provides background technical information concerning the calculation of noise graphs and basic modeling assumptions and sources of technical information.

SECTION II

OVERVIEW

The day night average sound level (L_{dn}) is a measure of the noise environment at a prescribed location over a 24-hour period. It is equivalent in terms of sound energy to the level of a continuous A-weighted sound level with 10 dB added to the nighttime levels. The L_{dn} may be measured or computed in several ways. If the noise is monitored continuously, the noise levels existing over the 24-hour period can be summed on an energy basis (adding 10 dB to the nighttime levels).

A second approach is adopted for calculating the L_{dn} values due to airport operations. In this approach, the noise contributions from each significant aircraft operation (takeoffs and landings) occurring over a 24-hour period are summed on an energy basis to obtain the L_{dn} value. In this case, the nighttime adjustment may be introduced by either adding 10 dB to the nighttime levels or by multiplying the number of nighttime events by 10. This latter procedure is the one adopted in this manual.*

To provide a systematic basis for calculations, aircraft noise intrusions are classified by type of aircraft and type of operations (takeoffs and landings). (The classification for an aircraft is based on the airport noise characteristics and its takeoff and landing capabilities.) An L_{dn} value (called a "partial" L_{dn}) is first "Daytime is taken as the period from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., nighttime is the period from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

-5-

calculated for each class of aircraft, taking into account the number of significant operations occurring in the daytime and nighttime. These "partial" L_{dn} values are then summed on an energy basis to obtain the total L_{dn} .

A. <u>Sound Exposure Level</u> (SEL)

The noise exposure contribution from each aircraft operation is described in terms of sound exposure level (SEL). Referring to Figure 1, which shows a typical time pattern of the noise levels existing during an aircraft flyover, the SEL is the A-weighted sound level integrated over the entire noise event and normalized to a reference duration of 1 second. Hence the SEL gives the level of a continuous 1 second signal which contains the same amount of energy as the noise event. The 1 second reference duration acts like a common denominator, permitting the addition of new events of varying durations.

Note that while the SEL is measured in terms of the A-weighted sound level scale, the SEL is generally not equal to the maximum A-level occurring during the noise event (see Figure 1). Most aircraft noise intrusions last more than 1 second, so SEL value will in general be *higher* than the maximum A-level for the same event.

B. Calculation of Partial L_{dn} Values

The L_{dn} for one class of aircraft and mode of operation along one flight track is called the "partial" L_{dn} . For aircraft class, i, and operational mode, j, the partial L_{dn} (i,j) is given by:





-7-

$L_{dn}(1,j) = SEL(1,j) + 10 log(N_D[1,j] + 10 N_N[1,j]) - 49.4$ (1)

where N_D = number of daytime operations N_M = number of nightime operations

The SEL(1,j), the first term in the above equation, is dependent upon the type of aircraft, its power setting and the distance of the aircraft from the listener at the closest point along its flight track. The second term of the equation involves the number of operations for the given type of aircraft and mode of operation. The last term, a constant of -49.4, reflects the normalization of L_{dn} to a 24-hour day, rather than to the l second reference value for SEL.*

For convenient calculation, equation (1) may be rewritten as:

$$L_{dn}(1,j) = SEL(1,j) - K(1,j)$$
 (2)

where $K = 49.4 - 10 \log(N_{D}[1, j] + 10 N_{M}[1, j])$

C. Calculation of Total L_{dn} Value

After the partial L_{dn} values are calculated for each significant aircraft intrusion, they may be summed on an energy basis to obtain the total L_{dn} due to aircraft

*The constant of 49.4 equals 10 log(number of seconds in a 24-hour day), or 10 log(86,400).

operations. Mathematically this is expressed as follows:

$$L_{dn} = 10 \log \sum_{i} \sum_{j} 10^{\frac{L_{dn}(i,j)}{10}}$$

Close to an airport, the L_{dn} contributions from aircraft will generally be much greater than that from other sources, hence the total L_{dn} value due to aircraft will equal the total L_{dn} value for the site. At distances further from the airport, or near other major noise sources, the L_{dn} values resulting from aircraft may not fully account for the noise exposure at the site. In such situations, noise from other sources must be taken into account in determining the total L_{dn} for that site.

D. Calculation Summary

In summary, the major steps in determining the day/ night level at any given position near the airport are as follows:

- (A) Obtain airport and aircraft operational information to identify types of aircraft and types of operations that contribute to the noise environment at the desired position. Also determine the distance of the aircraft from the ground position and the number of operations for each type of aircraft.
- (B) Determine the SEL for each contributing noise event using the noise charts given in this manual.

-9-

- (C) Compute the *partial* L_{dn} value for each significant class of noise events from the SEL and number of events per day and night.
- (D) Add all the partial L_{dn} values together to obtain the total L_{dn} for aircraft operations.

The next section will describe each of the above steps in detail with examples to illustrate applications to real life situations.

SECTION III

CALCULATION OF Ldn VALUES AT A POINT

The basic problem to be solved is illustrated in Figure 2 for the simple case of a land parcel exposed to noise from takeoffs of a single type of aircraft. To determine the L_{dn} for the land parcel, you must be able to identify the type of aircraft, the type of operation and the number of aircraft noise intrusions per day and per night period. You must find out the location of the airport runway and the flight path with respect to the land parcel.

This section describes the calculation of L_{dn} values at a single point. For small land parcels where the expected variation in noise levels throughout the land parcel is of the order of 1 dB or less, calculations at a single position should be sufficient. For larger parcels where the expected variation in noise is greater than 1 dB, calculations at several points may be needed to adequately determine the noise exposure for the land under study. Section V-C provides guidelines for determining whether calculations at one or more positions are needed.

A. <u>Acquire Field Information</u>

Before starting to collect information, a few words of advice may be appropriate for anyone not directly connected with the airport operations. In almost every case, the best source of information is the airport manager; either he will have the information that you want at hand, or he can direct you to someone who has this information. If the airport has a control tower, the FAA tower personnel will also be a major source of information, and the airport manager will probably pass your requests on to them.

-11-



-12-

This report also suggests alternate sources of information, if for any reason you wish to work independently of the airport manager.

Data acquisition involves the following six steps:

1. Determine the physical layout of the airport runways and the length of the runways for the flight paths of concern.

Runway descriptions are given in FAA Form 5010³ and instrument approach predures published by the National Ocean Survey and Jeppesen^{4,5}. Many airports will also maintain plans containing runway information, and most airports are also shown in Coast and Geodetic Survey (C & GS) maps. Whatever the source, a check should be made to be sure that the airport information is current.

2. Determine the location of the major flight tracks over the ground and the distances D_1 and D_2 .

The path of the aircraft project on the ground is called the track. This track must be located to determine actual distances from the land parcel to the aircraft in flight. Once the track is determined, the distances D_1 and D_2 , detailed in Figures 3 and 4, should be calculated for each track.

For takeoffs, shown in Figure 3, D_1 is the distance along the flight track from the start of the takeoff roll to a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the point where the L_{dn} is to be determined. If the flight track is

-13-



-14-

curved, the distance D_1 must be measured along the arc of the curve. D_2 is the distance along the perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the desired position.

For landing, as shown in Figure 4, D_1 is the distance along the flight track from the landing threshold* to the perpendicular drawn from the flight track through the land parcel. D_2 is the distance along the perpendicular from the flight track to the ground position.

Figure 5 provides a form for tabulating the D_1 and D_2 distances. The maps and sketches which provide the basic track information from which the D_1 and D_2 distances are determined should accompany this form.

Unfortuantely, aircraft do not fly along flight tracks like cars along an expressway. The actual flight tracks flown tend to fan out from the nominal flight track as the aircraft get further from the airport. Locating the turning point of just one aircraft will not necessarily be representative of the mean flight track.

*The landing threshold identifies the beginning of the runway that is available and suitable for landings. For most runways the landing threshold coincides with the physical beginning of the runway. However, at some airports the landing threshold is displaced along the runway. This displaced threshold would be noted in the instrument approach procedure charts but will not be indicated on Coast and Geodetic Survey Maps.



Several sources may have to be contacted to obtain reliable track information. Often, the best source of information will be the FAA tower or airport operations personnel. The appropriate takeoff and approach charts from the Jeppesen Guide⁵ may be of some help (these charts may be obtained individually from the publisher). Direct visual observation may be needed to resolve conflicting information, or to help determine the extent of variations in flight tracks for different áircraft.

3. Determine the types of aircraft producing the noise intrusions.

For convenience, aircraft are classified into different aircraft types determined on the basis of their noise characteristics and operational characteristics. Table 1 presents the aircraft classification to be used in this report. The table lists the general aircraft types with specific examples. The aircraft types are listed approximately in order of their noisiness. The aircraft range from the 4 engine turbofan aircraft such as the Boeing 707 and DC 8 series down to the smaller piston engine propeller aircraft such as the Cessna 180.

Most airports will handle operations of several types of aircraft. Start by determining the number of aircraft operations for each type of aircraft beginning with the top of the list in the table. Where the number of jet aircraft operations (transport and general aviation) exceed more than 5% of the total operations, you need not obtain detailed information for the propeller aircraft operations.

-17-

TABLE 1

NOISE CHART CODES ASSOCIATED WITH AIRCRAFT TYPES RANKED BY APPROXIMATE NOISINESS

		T erritor A	Takeoff SEL at	Landing SEL at
Alveraft Type	Aircraft Code	Aircraft	Start of TO1, dB	6,000 ft. from Threshold ² , dB
4-Engine HBPR Lurbofan	4-T-TFH	747	111.5	108.3
4-Engine LBPR turbofan	4-T-TFL	707, DC-8	110.9	106.4
4-Engine LBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	4-T-TFL(Q)	707(QN) DC-8(QN)	109.7	97.2
3-Engine LBPR turbofan	3-T-TFL	727	109.6	101,1
3-Engine LBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	3-T-TFL(Q)	727(QN)	109.3	96.1
4-Engine HBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	4-T-TFH(Q)	747(QN)	108.6	103.1
2-Engine Composite Business Jet (turbojet/turbofan)	2-0-TJ	Jetstar I, Learjet 23-29 Learjet 35-30 Jetstar II	104.9	101.3
2-Engine LBPR turbofan	2-T-TFL	737, DC-9	101.9	94.3
2-Engine LBPR turbofan	2-T-TFL(Q)	737(QN), DC-9(QN)	101.9	93.1
3-Engine HBPR turbofan	3-T-TFII	L-1011, DC-10	101.8	98.8
4-Engine propeller	4-T-PP	DC-4, DC-6	98.8	90.3
4-Engine turboprop	4-T-TP	Electra	97.8	92.1
2-Engine G.A. ¹ turboprop	2-0-TP	Twin Otter, King Air, Turbo Command	93.5 er	92,4
2-Engine G.A. ¹ propeller (large)	2-G-LPP	DC-3	92.5	67.3
2-Engine G.A. ¹ propeller (small)	2-0-SPP	Cessna 310-40	1 83.2	80.5
2-Engine G.A. ¹ turbofan (small)	2-0-TFS	Cesana Citatio	on 81.4	80.3
1-Engine G.A. ³ propeller	1-G-PP	Cesana 150-210 Piper Cher,140 235	D, 81.8 D-	72.9

 $^1\mathrm{ATA}$ (Air Transport Association) takeoff procedure at max, weight category $^23^o$ approach $^3\mathrm{General}$ aviation

.

-18-

4. Determine the mode of operations (Takeoff or landing), for the aircraft producing noise instrusions.

Noise produced during takeoff and landing may differ considerably. Similarly, the flight paths followed by aircraft in landing and takeoff may differ significantly. For those reasons the number of operations for each class of aircraft must be identified by mode. If a detailed analysis is being performed, takeoff operations must also be broken down by trip length (see Section V-A).

5. Determine the number of operations per daytime and per nighttime period.

The average number of operations per day should be determined for each aircraft class and mode of operations. For most purposes, the number of operations over a one year period should be used as the basis for determining the average number per day. For some detailed investigations you may wish to consider the number of operations occurring over a shorter period. For example, when pronounced changes in aircraft operations occur during different seasons of the year, you might wish to calculate separate day/night levels for the different seasons.

Determining the number of operations for each aircraft class and mode of operation may not be easy since detailed aircraft operational records are not usually available. The log of operations maintained by the FAA tower personnel will provide the yearly number of air carrier, air taxi,

-19-

general aviation and military operations.* However, the FAA records do not identify the type of aircraft.

Sources of information for identifying transport aircraft are the <u>Official Airline Guide</u>** (OAG) and the flight schedules published by the individual airlines. Some airports also provide a schedule of aircraft arrivals and departures. And, do not neglect to check on freight operations.

Discussion with the airport operator and tower personnel will generally provide good estimates of the number of business jet and propeller aircraft operations.

The information as to number of operations obtained from different sources may be for differing time periods for example, daily, monthly or yearly totals. For calculation purposes, this information should be corrected to a common basis - the average number of takeoffs and landings *per day*. The number of daily takeoffs and landings should then be entered on a form (Figure 5).

For airports having FAA towers, this information is published in "FAA Air Traffic Activity", a publication issued by the FAA usually on both a calendar and a fiscal year basis.

** Published monthly by the Reuben H. Donnelley Corporation, 2000 Clearwater Drive, Oak Brook, Illinois 60521

-20-

AIRPORT	FLIGHT TRACK	OTHER
TRACK		
AIRCRAFT		
OPERATION		
ND		
NN		
D ₁		
D ₂		
CHART		
SEL		
к		
ARTIAL LDN		

TOTAL LDN

FIGURE 5. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART

-21-

.

11.00.00

6. Determine the flight path utilization.

Track utilization is defined as the proportion of operations in each direction along the flight track. Takeoff direction along the runway may well reverse during different periods of the day or year due to changes in wind direction or traffic needs. Sometimes the same flight track will be used for aircraft departing and for aircraft landing.* In other cases, the flight track may differ for takeoff and landing operations. For example, the landing track may be straight into the runway while the takeoff may involve a turn after liftoff.

Accurate information on runway or flight track utilization is sometimes difficult to obtain. This information may be provided by the airport operator, FAA tower or traffic personnel. Where detailed records are not available, estimates of track utilization may be obtained by studying wind rose information (see reference 6).

Obtaining the above information completes the collection of data for the basic L_{dn} calculations. The information collected should be sufficient to complete the top seven rows of the data collection and calculation form (Figure 5).

At this point, it is important to check that the acquired information on numbers of takeoff or landings and

*Note that in this case although the same flight track is used, the distance D₁ will differ for takeoff and landing by the length of the runway.

-22-

path utilization are in the desired format. The values of N_D and N_N to be entered in Figure 5 are the number of takeoffs or approaches per day along the specified track. Thus, if you know the total number of takeoffs of aircraft type j per day for the airport, and the utilization of track k, then the number of takeoffs of aircraft j on track k is:

$$N(j,k) = \frac{N(j) \cdot U(j,k)}{100}$$

where U(j,k) = Utilization of track k by aircraft j, in percent.

The traffic data may not be given in the desired format, and there are certain pitfalls that are easily fallen into. Check, for example, whether the distributions of landings or takeoffs on each track are given as a percentage of all operations on that track, as a percentage of landings or takeoffs at the airport, or as a percentage of all opeerations at the airport. At each stage in the calculations, it is most important to check that the total number of operations is correct.

In general, calculating the number of operations to two significant figures is sufficient, but do not ignore nighttime operations just because they may be an order of magnitude less than daytime operations. As explained earlier, the number of nighttime operations is multiplied by 10 in the calculations, hence nighttime operations, when they occur, will likely be significant.

-23-

7. Special takeoff or landing procedures.

When making a detailed noise assessment, additional operational information may need to be acquired.

(a) Special noise abatement takeoffs

The basic noise charts assume ATA (Air Transport Association) takeoff procedures for civil jet transport operations. Special noise abatement procedures involving reduction of engine thrust as specific altitudes may either be implemented at some airports or may be contemplated as part of a noise reduction program. Information on the procedures including the description of the procedures and the aircraft using the procedures should be collected. Section V discusses the usage of such information in detail.

(b) Special landing profiles

For basic calculations, assume a 3° glide slope for all turbojet aircraft and the larger propeller aircraft; for smaller propeller aircraft, assume a $4-1/2^{\circ}$. glide slope. For other approach angles, see Section V-B. In some cases, a two-segment approach may be used, in which aircraft start their approach on a 6° glide slope and then transition to a 3° glide slope at 2 nautical miles from the landing threshold. These approaches have special noise charts associated with them; these are identified in Section III-B.

-24-

8. Data collection example (Example 1).

Two small parcels of land P and Q are the subject of an investigation of aircraft noise at Mythical Airport (see Figure 6). Distances from the runway and flight tracks are as shown, and the following operational data have been collected:

- . Total daily operations at airport: 150*
- . Distribution of landings or takeoffs on each track as percentage of all landings or takeoffs at airport

	27A	27B	09A
Landing	0%	10%	90%
Takeoff	30%	60%	10%

. Distribution of operations by type of aircraft:

B-727 - 70% DC-9 - 30%

Distribution by time of day:

	Day	Night
B-727	75%	25%
DC-9	80%	20%

*Note that this total is equal to 75 takeoffs and 75 landings.

-25-



المراجب والمراجب والمراجع



-26-

energia de la casa de l

The first step is to calculate the distance D_1 and D_2 for the points P and Q pertaining to each track. These distances are tabulated in Figure 7.

Remember that D_1 does not have the same value for takeoffs and landings. For takeoffs, D_1 is measured along the track from the brake release point, which is the point when the aircraft starts its takeoff roll (i.e. the far end of the runway for aircraft coming towards you). For landings, D_1 is measured to the landing threshold which is usually the near end of the runway, except in the case of a displaced threshold.

The values of D_1 and D_2 can be measured directly off Figure 6, and accuracy to two significant figures is quite adequate. In this example, both types of aircraft conveniently start their turn after takeoff at the same point. More often, however, the flight instructions would call for a turn at a given altitude, and since aircraft have differing rates of climb, the turn would be initiated at different points.

The next step is to calculte the total number of operations at the airport broken down by type, i.e.

B-727	150	х	0.7	=	105
DC-9	150	х	0.3	R	45
	Tota	1		=	150

Break these numbers down into day and night operations:

			Day				Nd	Lght			Total
B-727	105	x	•75	=	79	105	х	.25	曲	26	105
DC-9	45	х	.80	=	36	45	х	.20	=	9	45
											150

These aircraft must be distributed on each flight track:

Track 2	Landing Takeoff Day Night Day Night -727 0 0 12 3.9 D-9 0 0 5.4 1.4 Mack 27B						
	Landing		Takeoff				
	Day	Night	Day	Night			
B-727	0	0	12	3.9			
DC-9	0	0	5.4	1.4			
Track 2	<u>7B</u>						
B-727	4.0	1.3	24	7.8			
DC-9	1.8	0.5	11	2.7			
Track 0	<u>9A</u>						
B-727	36	12	4.0	1.3			
DC-9	16	4.1	1.8	0.5			

Check on total operations = 151.5 (the discrepancy here is due to rounding error)

The data has now been broken down so that the L_{dn} collection and calculation chart can be completely filled in (Figure 7).

-28-

	<u> Yythical - Pos</u>	itian R	FLIGHT TRACK	МАР	OTHER
TRACK	og A	09 A	09 A	OG A	
AIRCRAFT	B-727	B-727	DC 9	DC 9	
OPERATION	т/о	Landing	Τ/Ο	Landing	
ND	4.0	36	1.8	16	
NN	1.3	12	0.5	4.1	
DI	15,000	7000	15, <u>000</u>	7000	
^D 2	2000	2000	2000	2000	
CHART					
SEL					
К					
PARTIAL LON					

TOTAL L

FIGURE 7 (a). LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART EXAMPLE 1

.

-29-

· · · · · · · ·
AIRPORT	Mythical - Po	nsition P	FLIGHT TRACK	МАР	OT HER
TRACK	27 B	27 B	27 B	27 B	
AIRCRAFT	B- 727	B-727	DC-9	DC-9	
OPERATION	ס/ד	Landing	т/о	Landing	
ND	24	1.3		1.8	
NN	7.8	0.5	2.7	0.5	
Dl	20,000	12,000	20,000	12,000	
D ₂	2500	2500	2500	2500	
CHART					
SEL					
к					
PARTIAL LDN			 		

TOTAL L

FIGURE 7 (b). LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART EXAMPLE 1

-30-

	FRACK	27 A	27 A	27 FI	27 A	
	AIRCRAFT	B- 727	B-727	B-727	B·727	
	OPERATION	т/о	LANDING	т/а	LANDING	
	N _D	12	0	5.4	σ	
	NN	3.9	0	1.4	0	
	D ₁	3 <i>500</i>	-	3 <i>500</i>	-	
	D ₂	19,300	-	1 9 ,300	-	
(CHART					
	SEL	,				
	к		∱····································			
PA	RTIAL L			······································	· [*

....

فاستعادهم والمتعادية المحادث والمحاجين

.

TOTAL LDN

FIGURE 7 (c). LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART EXAMPLE 1

.

.

B. Determine the SEL for each Contributing Aircraft Noise Event

1. Check the Distances D_1 and D_2

The knowledge of D₁ and of the aircraft takeoff and landing profile determines height of the aircraft above ground (see Figures 3 & 4) as it passes near the ground position. You do not have to calculate the height. It is automatically calculated and taken into account in the noise charts.

2. Select the Proper Noise Chart

All the information has now been assembled for selection of the proper noise charts. The selection of the noise chart is determined by:

- . Aircraft type (in accordance with Table 1)
- . Mode of operation (takeoff or landing)
- . Distance D₁

The noise charts are listed in Appendix A. Each noise chart covers a range of the distance D_1 , and several charts may be needed to cover the entire range of D_1 values for each aircraft and mode of operation.

Noise charts are identified according to the code listed below. This shows an example of the coding used by the charts for the basic L_{dn} calculation:

4 - T - TFL(Q) - TO(I) - N - 1(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

- The first number identifies the number of engines in the aircraft.
- (2) This letter signifies either transport aircraft (T) or general aviation aircraft (G).
- (3) The next group of letters identifies the type of engine, as follows:

\underline{TJ}	-	Turbojet
TFL	-	Low bypass ratio turbofan
<u>TFH</u>	-	High bypass ratio turbofan
\underline{TP}	-	Turboprop
<u>PP</u>	~	Propeller driven by reciprocating
		engine
\underline{LPP}	-	Propeller driven by reciprocating
		engine (large)
<u>SPP</u>	-	Propeller driven by reciprocating
		engine (small)
TFS	-	Turbofan (small)
The	appendix	<u>(Q)</u> signifies a quiet nacelle
engi	ne,	

(4) The mode of operation is identified with a TO for takeoff and L for landing. (I), (II) or (III) refers to the takeoff profiles associated with different flight trip lengths when a detailed noise analysis is being performed (see Section V-A). If the trip length is not known, use profile (II). (5) This group of letters refers to the special procedure that may be used. The interpretation of the coding is as follows:

- S Standard takeoff or landing
- \underline{N} Northwest Orient Airlines noise abatement takeoff
- F FAR Part 36 takeoff
- T Two-segment approach
- (6) The last number of the code identifies the number in the set. The graphs within a set encompass different ranges of the distance D₁. For the turbojet and turbofan aircraft there are usually three graphs in a takeoff set and two graphs in a landing set. For the propeller aircraft there are two graphs in a takeoff set and one graph in a landing set.

For a given type of aircraft, look up the chart code in Table 1 (page26) which will give the first three groups of the code. If the operation is a takeoff and stage lengths are not known, use the chart with TO (II) in the code (if the stage lengths are known, see Chapter V). Check also whether the airport uses standard or special takeoff and landing procedures. Finally, look through the subset of charts for the chart that shows the appropriate range of D_1 . The desired SEL value is determined by first establishing the D_2 distance along the horizontal axis. A perpendicular is then drawn on the graph to intersect the proper D_1 value. The appropriate D_1 distance is established by interpolation between the bracketing D_1 curves. From

-34-

this intersection, a line is drawn horizontally to intersect the vertical. The point of intersection gives the proper SEL value.

C. Complete the partial L_{dn} value

1. Calculate the correction for the number of operations.

The SEL value for a given type of aircraft and mode of operation must now be adjusted for the number of times this particular type of aircraft flies along this track. This adjustment is made by subtracting the variable K which is dependent on the number and distribution of day and night flights. The equation* for K is $49.4 - 10 \log (N_D + 10N_N)$ and its solution is graphed in Figure 8. In Figure 8, find the nearest whole number value of K for the intersection of the number of daytime (N_D) and nighttime (N_N) operations for the given type of aircraft, mode of operation and track.

2. Calculate the partial L_{dn} value.

The partial L_{dn} value $[L_{dn}(i,j)]$ is simply the arithmetic difference of the SEL value found above and the appropriate K value:

 $L_{dn}(i,j) = SEL(i,j) - K(i,j)$

The process is now completed for obtaining an L_{dn}(i,j)

*See Equation 2, page 7

-35-





-36-

value for class of aircraft and mode of aircraft operation j. Repeat for each major aircraft type and mode of operation. Repetition will result in a set of $L_{dn}(i,j)$ values. The total aircraft noise environment is then the summation of these partial L_{dn} values.

D. Add the partial L_{dn} values to obtain the total L_{dn} for aircraft operations

Since the partial L_{dn} values are expressed in decibels, they cannot be added together by ordinary arithmetic. Instead "decibel addition" is involved.* A chart for adding sound levels quite accurately by "decibel addition" is given in Figure 9. This chart can be used to an accuracy of 0.1 dB, but most applications will not require (nor justify) this degree of precision. A more practical addition procedure for quickly estimating the sum of two or more decibel levels is given in the top of Table 2. The use of this table will yield a sum that has an accuracy within 1 dB. An accuracy within 1/2 dB can be obtained

*Remember that the decibel scale is logarithmic and expresses the ratio of two quantities related to power (with one quantity being a reference value). When L_{dn} values to be combined are ("decibel addition"), the values are combined on an energy basis. The rigorous procedure for doing this is to convert the decibel numbers to relative powers, to add the powers and then convert back to the corresponding decibels. The availability of scientific calculators that have 10^x and log₁₀x functions makes decibel addition very easy and precise.





-38-

TABLE 2

RULES FOR COMBINING SOUND LEVELS BY "DECIBEL ADDITION"

A. For noise levels know or desired to an accuracy of ± 1 decibel:

Add the following amount to the higher value
3 dB
2 08

^{B.} For noise levels known or desired to an accouracy of $\pm \frac{1}{4}$ decibel:

When two decibel values differ by	Add the following amount to the higher value
0 or ½ dB 1 or 1½ dB 2 to 3 dB 3½ to 4½ dB 5 to 7 dB 7½ to 12 dB	3 dB 2½ dB 2 dB 1½ dB 1 dB ½ dB
T) do ot more	υαΒ

(For greater accuracy, refer to chart in Figure 5)

-39-

by using the lower half of Table 2. This degree of accuracy in comparing $\rm L_{dn}$ values will generally be adequate for most calculations.

When a number of partial L_{dn} values are to be added, using Table 2 or Figure 9, they should be added two at a time, starting with the lower valued levels and continuing the addition procedure of two at a time until only one value remains. To illustrate, suppose it is desired to add the following five levels, using the summation procedure of the upper portion of Table 2:



Addition of the partial L_{dn} values completes the procedure. Table 3 summarizes the basic calculation steps that have been described.

E. <u>Examples</u>

The following two examples illustrate the procedure, the first for a single aircraft operation and the second for multiple aircraft operations. Calculations for these examples are given in Figure 10.

Example 2 -

Consider a site exposed to noise from takeoffs of 4-engine LBPR turbofan aircraft with the aircraft departing along a curved flight path essentially as shown in Figure 3 (page22). There are twelve operations during daytime hours (between 7 am and 10 pm) and two nighttime operations (between 10 pm and 7 am). The standard ATA takeoff procedure is used but the stage lengths are unknown.

Measurement of the flight track on a map shows that the distance D_1 is 22,000 feet and the distance D_2 is 1000 feet.

Entering the takeoff chart 4-T-TFL-TO(II)-S-3 which covers D_1 distances from 18,000 to 190,000 feet and using distance D_2 value of 1000 feet, an SEL value of 101.3 dB is obtained. Note that a curve for D_1 equal to 22,000 feet is located twofifths of the distance between the D_1 curves for 20,000 and 25,000 feet.

The interpolation here can be done by inspection or by calculation: At $D_{\rm p}$ = 1000 ft.

D ₁	SEL
20,000	102
25,000	100.2

Thus, the SEL for a D, of 22,000 ft. is

 $102 + \frac{22,000-20,000}{25,000-20,000} \cdot (1.8)$ $= 102 - \frac{2}{5} (1.8) = 102 - 0.7 = 101.3$

From Figure 8, the "K" value for N_D equal to 12 and N_N equal to 2 is 34.5 dB. Therefore, as shown in column 1 of Figure 10, the L_{dn} is 66.8 dB.

Example 3 -

Consider the same site as in Example 2. The airport is considering a change in aircraft departure paths, such that a large proportion of aircraft would make a "straight-out" departure as sketched in Figure 11. The total number of departures is unchanged. What will be the change in noise exposure at the site as a result of this change of operations? The needed operational information is tabulated in columns 2 and 3 of Figure 10. Eight daytime flights have been changed from flight path A to flight path B. The two night operations have been split between the two paths.

The distance D_1 and D_2 are tabulated in Figure 10. The SEL values from 4-T-TFL-TO(I)-S-3 are also tabulated. The new flight path results in an SEL that is 2.3 dB lower than that for flight path A.

-42-

	(1)	(2)	FLIGHT TRACK (3)	МАР	
TRACK	A	Α	B		
AIRCRAFT	B-707	B-707	B-707		
OPERATION	т/о	τ/σ	т/о		
ND	12	4	8		
NN	2	1	1		
DI	22,000	22,000	20,000		
D ₂	1000	1000	2000		
CHART	4-7- T FL-TO(II)-S-3	4-7-7FL-70(II)-S-3	4-T-TFL-TO(II)-S-3		
SEL	101.3	101.3	99		
к	34.5	38	37		
PARTIAL L	66.8	63.3	ଟେ.0		

TOTAL L

.

-43-

65.8 (Example 3)

FIGURE 10. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHART EXAMPLES 2 & 3





-44-

Subtracting the "K" values obtained from Figure 10 results in partial L_{dn} values of 63.3 dB and 62.0 dB. Adding the two partial L_{dn} values by means of Table 2-B results in a total L_{dn} of 65.8 dB as shown below.

63.3 - 62.0 = 1.3 dB. By Table 2-B, 2.5 dB should then be added to 63.3 dB 63.3 + 2.5 = 65.8 dB (More precise calculations yield 65.7 dB.)

Thus, the change in flight paths has resulted in a decrease in noise exposure at the site by 1 dB.

The procedures involved in this section are summarized in Table 3.



SECTION IV

METHOD FOR DETERMINING APPROXIMATE L dn CONTOURS FOR A SINGLE FLIGHT PATH

A. <u>Calculate the Equivalent SEL Value</u>

The procedures given in this manual enable you to calculate the L_{dn} value at a given ground position. They do not provide an efficient way to determine contcurs (lines of equal L_{dn} values) which may cover quite large land areas. As noted earlier, standard computer programs are available for generating L_{dn} contours.

For some planning purposes, it may be desirable to make an estimate of contour size and dimensions for a specific flight path. To accomplish this limited aim, this section outlines a way of determing the approximate size and area of a specified L_{dn} contour. The basic approach lies in determining the *dominant mode* of operation (takeoff or landing), the most significant aircraft in terms of noise contribution for that path, and then calculating an *equivalent SEL* corresponding to the desired L_{dn} values for which contours are needed. Once the equivalent SEL value is determined, the approximate contours can be determined, as outlined in Section IV-B.

This procedure has several limitations. L_{dn} contours may be influenced by both takeoff and landing operations but in this method, only the dominant mode of operation is considered. Another limitation is that in many locations, the L_{dn} values are influenced by contributions from more than one flight path; this procedure is based upon noise from aircraft on only one flight path.

-47-

The method for calculating the equivalent SEL value is described in the following seven steps:

1. Identify the aircraft types and number of takeoffs and landings per day for the *three "noisiest" classes* of aircraft. In ranking the aircraft in terms of their contributions to the total noise environment, use the ranking of aircraft given in Table 1*.

If takeoff operations comprise 40% or more of the total operations, omit calculations for aircraft on approach. If takeoff operations comprise less than 30% of the operations, neglect the takeoff operations. Where takeoffs range between 30% and 39% carry out calculations for both takeoff and landing aircraft.

2. List the three classes of aircraft identified in Step 1 in the form given in Figure 5 together with the number of day and night takeoffs or landings for each aircraft. Also, list the appropriate takeoff or landing SEL values from Table 1 for each aircraft. This value is identified as the reference SEL value (SEL_R) for that aircraft and mode of operation.

*Table 1 lists the typical noise levels at a distance approximately 15,000 feet from start of takeoff or 6,000 from threshold on landing threshold. The relative ranking of contributions may well change for different positions hence the listing in Table I is to be used for the purposes of this analysis only.

-48-

3. Determine from Figure 8 the adjustment factor "K" for the number of day and night operations and list on Figure 5.

4. For each aircraft class, subtract the K values from the partial day/night levels.

$$(L_{dn})_{R} = SEL_{R} - K$$
 (4.1)

5. Add the partial L_{dn} values as described in Section III-C to obtain the reference L_{dn} value for takeoffs and/or for landings. In cases where both landing and approach L_{dn} values have been calculated (see Step 1), compare the landing and takeoff values. If the landing value exceeds the take-off L_{dn} value by more than 3 dB neglect the takeoff value in future calculations. If this is not the case, neglect the landing values in further calculations.

This assessment results in selection of the dominant mode of aircraft operation and establishes the reference L_{dn} value.

6. Identify the dominant aircraft, which is the aircraft that has the highest partial L_{dn} value for the dominant mode of operation.

7. Obtain the equivalent SEL, SEL_E , for the reference L_{dn} value. The equivalent SEL for the dominant aircraft is calculated as follows:

 $SEL_E = [L_{dn} - (L_{dn})_R] + SEL_R \dots (4.2)$

-49-

where L_{dn} is the level whose contour you wish to calculate

 $({\rm L}_{\rm dn})_{\rm R}$ is the reference value of ${\rm L}_{\rm dn}$ ${\rm SEL}_{\rm R}$ is the reference value for the dominant aircraft

The SEL_E contour size and shape is approximately the same as the reference L_{dn} contour. The SEL_E is then used in Section IV-B to calculate the size and area of the L_{dnR} contour.

Example 4(a) You want to determine the approximate size and area for the $L_{dn} = 60$ contour for operations along the track 27B at Mythical Airport (see Figure 6); ATA takeoffs are used, but stage lengths are unknown. The breakdown of operations on an average daily basis along this track is now as follows:

1	Average Daily Landings		Average Daily Takeoffs	
Aircraft Type	ND	NN	ND	N _N
Boeing 747	3	1	10	3
Boeing 707	5	2	14	6
DC-9	7	2	20	5
Convair 440	5	1	16	3
Twin Otter	3	0	9	0

Looking at the ranking of Table I, it can be seen that the Convair 440 and Twin Otter can be eliminated from further consideration, since only the three noisiest classes of aircraft are to be considered. Looking, therefore, at the 747, 707 and DC-9, it can be seen that there are a total of 58 takeoffs and 20 landings. Takeoffs comprise more then 40% of all operations, so landings need not be considered. Write the values of N_D and N_N for each aircraft type on the Data Collection Chart (Figure 12) and calculate the values of K. Using the reference SEL values (SEL_R), calculate the partial L_{dn} values at the reference distance using SEL_R values from Table I, then calculate the reference L_{dn} by addition of the partial L_{dn} values. This results in a reference L_{dn} of 79.7. Finally, calculate the equivalent SEL using equation (4.2) so that

$$SEL_E = (60 - 79.7) + 107.5$$

= 87.8

This value of SEL_E is used in the next section to calculate the shape for the $L_{dn} = 60$ contour (in Example 6).

B. Determine the Approximate Size of an SEL Contour

The procedure outlined in this subsection provides an approximate method of determining the width, length and area of an SEL contour for a specific aircraft operation (take-off or landing) or of determining an L_{dn} contour using an equivalent SEL value (SEL_E). The procedure somewhat over-estimates the size of the actual contour since it considers only air-to-ground noise propagation and neglects the increased attenuation due to ground-to-ground propagation which occurs when the aircraft is at a low elevation angle with respect to the listener.*

*The increased attenuation of noise for ground-to-ground propagation will mainly reduce the size of the contour while the aircraft is on the ground during takeoff roll.

-51-

AIRPORT _		<u>.</u>	FLIGHT TRACK	MAP	OTHER
TRACK	27 B	27 B	27 B		
AIRCRAFT	B-747	B - 707	DC-9		
OPERATION	тІо	т/о	т/о		
ND	10	14	20		
· N _N	3.	6	5		
DJ					
D ₂					
CHART	· · · · · ·	4-7-TFL-TO(I)	-5		
SEL R	109	107.5	102		
к	33.3	30.8	31		
PARTIAL L	75.7	76.7	71		
	30 3				

TOTAL LDN 79.7

FIGURE 12. LDN DATA COLLECTION AND CALCULATION CHARTEXAMPLES 4 & 6

and the second states of the second second

-52-

1. Select the proper noise charts for the given aircraft and mode of operation (if calculating an L_{dn} contour, use the dominant aircraft and mode of operation). The set of curves can be identified as described in Section III-B.

2. Determine the approximate contour closure distance. The contour closure distance is the distance (from start of takeoff roll or from the landing threshold) at which the desired SEL value is attained along the flight track. This distance is identified as A in Figure 13.

The closure distance can be determined from the noise charts by finding the distance D, along the *left vertical axis* for the desired SEL value. (Note that since the closure distance is measured along the flight track, D_2 is zero). Interpolate between the D_1 curves to find the desired D_1 value, and identify this distance as A.

3. To find the maximum width of the SEL contour, determine the maximum value of D_2 for any value of D_1 . To do this, draw a horizontal line on the chart(s) at the specified equivalent SEL value. Find the intersection with a D_1 curve that occurs farthest to the right of the chart. Read off D_2 on the horizontal axis corresponding to this intersection, and denote this as B. Where there is more than one chart to a takeoff or landing set, as will usually be the case, one should repeat this procedure for each chart, and take the largest value of D_2 as B*.

*As indicated in Figure 13, this procedure will result in determining B₁ the maximum width of the contour. At distances closer to the runway the noise contour may be narrower than those calculated from the above method because of the influence of ground-to-ground propagation. والمراجع والمراجع



FIGURE 13. CONTOUR CLOSURE DISTANCE A

-54-

4. Calculate the approximate area of the contour. The approximate area of the contour is found from the following expression:

Area =
$$\frac{\pi}{2}$$
 x A x B = 1.57 x A x B (4.3)

This expression approximates the contour as a section of an ellipse. This area is greater than the actual contour size because the ground-to-ground transmission of sound is neglected.

Example 5 -

You wish to find the approximate area impacted by noise levels of 107 SEL and above as a result of standard takeoffs of 4 engine LBPR turbofan aircraft (707/DC-8). First, go to the approximate set of noise charts (4 - T - TFL - TO(II) - S - 1, 2, 3).

Figure 14, a copy of the second chart of this subset, shows that when D_2 is zero (i.e. looking along the vertical axis) an SEL of 107 occurs for a value of $D_1 \approx 15,000$ ft. Now moving. horizontally along the line for SEL = 107, it can be seen that the largest value of D_2 is about 1350 ft. (This occurs for $D_1 = 8000$, but there is no need to record this.) Check all the charts in the set to see that this is, in fact, the largest value of D_2 .

-55-



FIGURE 14. DETERMINING A AND B FOR CONTOUR AREA CALCULATIONS - EXAMPLE 5

-56-

Thus A = 15,500 ft. B = 1,350 ft. Area Impacted $= 1.57 \times 15,500 \times 1,350$ $= 32.9 \times 10^{6}$ ft.²

Example 6 -

This is the continuation of Example 4 in which an SEL value of 87.8 was obtained as equivalent to an L_{dn} value of 60. Turn to the takeoff noise charts for the dominant aircraft (4-T-TFL-TO(II)-S); the third chart of the series shows a contour closure distance, D_1 , along the y axis of 90,000 ft. The maximum width, shown on the second chart in the series is 10,000 ft. This results in a contour area of 14 x 10⁸ ft.²

SECTION V

ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES FOR DETAILED NOISE ASSESSMENT OR NON-STANDARD FLIGHT PROFILES

A. Use of Special Charts for Differing Takeoff Weights

The gradient of the takeoff flight path affects the noise impact on the ground, and this gradient depends upon the takeoff weights of the aircraft. The takeoff weight depends on the amount of fuel carried, which in turn depends on the stage length of the particular flight.

For detailed noise calculations, takeoffs for each class of medium or long range aircraft should be further broken down by stage length for that trip. The categories of stage length depend on the actual type of aircraft and are shown in Table 4. The data collection form should be completed in the format as shown in Figure 15. The noise chart should then be selected that has the same Roman numeral in the fourth code group as the stage length category code.

B. Adjustment for Non-Standard Approach Glide Slope Angles

The approach noise charts for a jet aircraft given in this handbook assumes a 3° glide slope, and although this glide slope is found at many airports some exceptions may occur. This sub-section provides a method for adjusting the noise charts to predict SEL values for other glide slopes.

Besides a change in flight geometry which results in a change in the height of the aircraft at a given position

-59-

TABLE 4

STAGE LENGTHS ASSOCIATED WITH TAKEOFF CATEGORIES

Aircraft Code	Category I	Category III	
	0-1000	1000-2000	> 2000
2	0-500	> 500	- 2000
	0-500	> 500	
S=3.⊷J.R.P	0-500	> 500	
4-T-TFH	0-1000	1000-2000	> 2000
3-T-TFH	0-1000	1000-2000	> 2000
4-T-TP		ALL	
4-T-PP		ALL	
2-g-TJ		ALL	
2-G-TFS		ALL	
2-G-TP		ALL	
2-G-LPP		ALL	
2-G-SPP		ALL	
1-G-PP		ALL	

-60-

AIRPORT _			FLIGHT TRACK	мар	
TRACK	27 B	27 B	27 B		
AIRCRAFT	B-747	B-747	B-747		
OPERATION	T.O. /Cat. I	T.O./Cat.II	T.O. /Cat.III		
ND	15	27	/3		
NN	2	5	3		
DI					
D ₂					
CHART	4-T-TFH-TO(I)	4-T-TFH-TO (II)	4-T-TFH-TO(Щ)		
SEL					
к					
PARTIAL L _{DN}	·				,

and the second second

ander en me bestimelie stangene dien i die houseningen en en en en een

•

···· · · • · · ·

 $(1-1)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}$

TOTAL L_{DN}

FIGURE 15. LDN DATA COLLECTION FOR DIFFERING TAKEOFF CATEGORIES

and the second sec

-61-

Shidowe your designation.

in the subsection of the Constraint of the South of the

.

under the flight path, a change in glide slope also may involve changes in air speed and engine thrust which also produce changes in the SEL values. The method described provides adjustments for the geometric changes but does not provide adjustments for air speed and engine thrust changes that may accompany the change in glide slope. For minor changes in glide angle, the air speed and thrust changes are likely to be quite small. For large angle changes, thrust and air speed changes may affect the noise levels appreciably.

Given a glide slope of x° and a position along a perpendicular to the flight path at a distance D_1 (x), the adjustment to the noise charts consists of finding the equivalent D_1 $[(D_1)_E]$ for a 3° glide slope that has the same height (as illustrated in Figure 16). For glide slopes greater than 3 degrees, the equivalent distance will be larger distance than the actual D_1 (x); for glide slopes less than 3°, the $(D_1)_E$ will be less.

Figure 17 provides a simple nomograph for finding the equivalent D_1 distance to be used in the noise charts for glide slopes ranging from 2.5 to 7°. This nomograph simply solves the following equation.

$$(D_1)_E = D_1 \frac{\tan x^\circ}{\tan 3^\circ} \dots (5.1)$$



.

21-

.....





Example -

For a glide slope of 4° and distance D_1 of 25,000 ft., find the equivalent D_1 for a 3° glide slope. In Figure 17, draw a line through 25 on the left vertical scale $(D_1 \text{ at } \theta_N)$ and through $\theta_N = 4^\circ$. This line intersects the right vertical scale $(D_1 \text{ at } 3^\circ)$ at 33,600 ft.

C. <u>Guidance in Determining the Number of Positions for</u> L_{dn} Calculations

For a small parcel of land calculation of the Ldn at one position is sufficient. For a larger parcel, where there may be a significant variation within the parcel boundaries, calculation at more than one point may be desirable. The practical question to be answered is, "What is a small land parcel?" This question can be answered by considering the accuracy of the calculations and the magnitude of the variations in noise levels that is felt to be significant. In the guidelines to follow, we shall consider a small parcel as one where the expected variation in L_{dn} or SEL values will be of the order of 1 dB or less. For such parcels, calculation at one position should be sufficient. A larger parcel is one where it is anticipated that the variation in noise levels will be larger than 1 dB. Given this definition, general guidelines can be developed that will apply for the cases where the aircraft is airborne -- the guidelines will not generally be accurate for positions off to the side of the runway where the land parcel is exposed to noise from aircraft generated as the aircraft moves along the runway prior to taking off.



FIGURE 18. DEFINITION OF DISTANCES FOR DETERMINING LAND PARCEL "SIZE"


1. Determine D_1 and D_2 distances. Determine D_1 and D_1' , and D_2 and D_2' as shown in Figure 18. Note that D_1 is the distance along the flight track to a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the edge of the parcel nearest the runway; D_2' is the distance to the edge of the parcel farthest from the runway. Similarly, D_2 is the distance from along a perpendicular drawn from the flight track to the edge of the parcel nearest the flight track and D_2' is the distance to the edge of the parcel farthest from the flight track. Thus D_1 will always be large than D_1' and D_2 will always be larger than D_2' .

With the D_1 and D_2 distances determined, the formal guidelines described in the following steps may not be necessary in determining the need for calculating L_{dn} values at more than one position. One may simply estimate the expected variation in noise over the land parcel by inspection of the appropriate SEL charts, using the D_1 and D_2 values determined in this step.* Where several aircraft are involved, select the SEL charts for the aircraft likely to be the *dominant* aircraft, i.e. the aircraft likely to have the highest partial L_{dn} value.

2. Determine adjusted D_1 values. To apply the guidelines, the measured D_1 values, as obtained above, must be adjusted to represent the actual distance along the flight track from the point at which the aircraft left the ground.

^{*}This approach must be used to estimate the variation in levels for a parcel exposed only to noise from aircraft during the takeoff roll.

- (a) For landings, the adjusted D_1 values $(D_1^*$ and $D_1^{'*})$ are equal to the measured D_1 values *plus* 950 ft.
- (b) For takeoffs, adjusted D₁ values are equal to measured D₁ values minus the distance from start of takeoff roll to the point at which the aircraft leaves the ground (liftoff point). The liftoff distance which is, of course, always less than the runway distance may be estimated from Figure B-3 through B-9 given in Appendix B. (High precision is not needed.)
- 3. Apply size tests. Calculate the quantity d where:

$$d = \frac{D_{1}'* - D_{1}^{*}}{D_{1}^{*}}$$

If d is *less* than 0.12 the parcel may be considered to be *small* with regard to variations in noise along the direction of the flight track. If d is *equal to* 0.12 or greater, the parcel should be considered *large* and calculations at more than one position should be considered.

To estimate the variation in levels perpendicular to the flight track, enter Figure 19, with the two quantities:

 $\frac{D_1}{D_2}^*$, measured along the horizontal axis $\frac{D_2' - D_2}{D_2}$, measured along the vertical axis

--68-



en i segue esperante en esta tradición de Arte de Carte d

-69-

.

FIGURE 19. GUIDANCE CHART FOR DETERMINING LAND PARCEL "SIZE" WITH RESPECT TO SEL OR L ON VARIATIONS

And the second second

Locate the point on the figure. If this point falls below the appropriate curve (takeoff or approach) the parcel should be considered as small and hence has an expected variation of 1 dB or less. If the point falls above the appropriate curve, the expected variation may well be more than 1 dB, and more than one calculation position should be considered. If the value of $D_1 */D_2$ is less than 1 for takeoffs, $(D_2' - D_2)/D_2$ should be less than the 0.1 for the parcel to be considered small. Similarly, for the approach, when $D_1 */D_2$ is less than 2.4, $(D_2' - D_2)/D_2$ should be less than 0.1 for a small parcel.

-70-

REFERENCES

- N. H. Reddingius, "Community Noise Exposure Resulting from Aircraft Operations: Computer Program Operator's Manual," AMRL TR 73-108, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, July 1974.
- C. Bartell et al, "Airport Noise Reduction Forecast, Volume II - NEF Computer Program Description and User's Manual", Dept. of Transportation, DOT-TST-75-4, October 1974.
- 3. FAA Form 5010-1 (7-70) or replacement "FAA Airport Master Record".
- National Ocean Survey, Distribution Division, C44, Riverdale, Md. 20840.
- 5. Jeppesen and Co., 8025 E-40th Ave., Denver, Colo. 80207.
- "Utility Airports Air Access to National Transportation, Appendix 3, Weather Data Source and Analysis", FAA Advisory Circular AC 150/5300-4B, June 1975.

-71-

APPENDIX A SEL CHARTS

APPENDIX A

This appendix contains the sound exposure level (SEL) charts needed for calculation of day-night levels (L_{dn}) for civil aircraft operations. The selection of the appropriate noise chart is determined by:

- . Aircraft type
- . Mode of operation (takeoff or landing)
- Distance D₇*

Aircraft types for which SEL information is provided are identified in Table A-1 of this appendix. The types are listed in order of approximate noisiness. Table A-2 provides an index to the individual noise charts.

Each noise chart covers a range of the distance D_1 , and several charts may be needed to cover the entire range of D_1 values for each aircraft and mode of operation.

Noise charts are identified according to the code listed below. This shows an example of the coding used by the charts for the basic $L_{\rm dn}$ calculation:

4 - T - TFL(Q) - TO(I) - N - I(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

 The first number identifies the number of engines in the aircraft.

*Distances D₁ and D₂ are defined in the text (See Figures 3 and 4).

-75-

- (2) This letter signifies either transport aircraft (\underline{T}) or general aviation aircraft (\underline{G}) .
- (3) The next group of letters identifies the type of engine, as follows:
 - TJ Turbojet
 - TFL Low bypass ratio turbofan
 - TFH High bypass ratio turbofan
 - TP Turboprop
 - <u>PP</u> Propeller driven by reciprocating engine
 - <u>LPP</u> Propeller.driven by reciprocating engine (large)
 - <u>SPP</u> Propeller driven by reciprocating engine (small)
 - TFS Turbofan (small)

The appendix (Q) signifies a quiet nacelle engine

- (4) The mode of operation is identified with a TO for takeoff and L for landing. (I), (II) or (III) refers to the takeoff profiles associated with different flight stage lengths when a detailed noise analysis is being performed (see Section V-A). If the stage length is not known, use profile (II).
- (5) This group of letters refers to the special procedure that may be used. The interpretation of the coding is as follows:
 - <u>S</u> Standard takeoff
 - <u>N</u> Northwest Orient Airlines noise abatement takeoff

. -76-

 \underline{F} - FAR Part 36 takeoff \underline{T} - Two-segment approach

(6) The last number of the code identifies the number in the set. The graphs within a set encompass different ranges of the distance D₁. For the turbojet and turbofan aircraft there are usually three graphs in a takeoff set and two graphs in a landing set. For the turbojet and turbofan aircraft there are usually three graphs in a takeoff set and two graphs in a landing set. For the propeller aircraft there are two graphs in a takeoff set and one graph in a landing set.

-77-

TABLE A-1 NOISE CHART CODES ASSOCIATED WITH AIRCRAFT TYPES RANKED BY APPROXIMATE NOISINESS

C

			Takeoff SEL at	Landing SEL at
Alveraft Type	Aircraft Code	Typical <u>Aircraft</u>	15,000 ft. from Start of TO ¹ , dH	6,000 °C. from Threshold [*] , dB
4-Engine HBPR turbofan	4- T - T FH	747	111.5	108.3
4-Engine LBFR turbofan	4-T-TFL	707, DC-8	110.9	106.4
N-Engine LBFR turbofan (quiet nacelie)	4-T-TFL(Q)	707 (QH) DC-8 (QH)	109.7	97.2
3-Engine LBPR turbofan	3-T-TPL	727	109.6	101,1
3-Engine LBFR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	3-T-TFL(Q)	727 (QN)	109.3	96.1
4-Engine HBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	4-T-TFII(Q)	747 (QII)	108.6	103.1
2-Engine Composite Business Jet (turbo,let/turbofan)	2-0-TJ	Jetstar I, Learjet 23-25 Learjet 35-36 Jetstar II	104.9 ,	101.3
2-Engine LBPR turbofan	2-T-TFL	737, DC-9	101,9	94.3
2-Engine LBFR turbofan	2-T-TFL(Q)	737(QN), DC-9(QN)	101.9	93.1
3-Engine HBFR turbofan	3-T-TFH	L-1011, DC-10	101.8	98.8
4-Engine propeller	4-T-PP	DC-4, DC-6	98,8	90,3
4-Engine turboprop	4- T - T P	Electra	97.8	92.1
2-Engine G.A. ¹ turboprop	2-U-TP	Twin Otter, King Air, Turbo Commande	93.5 Pr	92.4
2-Engine G.A. ³ propeller (large)	2-0-LPP	DC-3	92.5	87.3
2-Engine G.A. ¹ propeller (small)	2-0-SPP	Cessna 310-401	83,2	80,5
2-Engine G.A. ¹ turbofan (small)	2-G-TFS	Cessna Citatic	n 81.4	80.3
1-Engine G.A. ³ propeller	1-G-PP	Cessna 150-210 Piper Cher,140 235), 81.8)-	72.9

 $^1\,\rm ATA$ (Air Transport Association) takeoff procedure at max. weight category $^2\,\rm 3^o$ approach $^3\,\rm General aviation$

-78-

TABLE A	1-2
---------	-----

3.5

. .

Index of Noise Charts

Aircraft Types	Aircraft	Pages	
	Code	Takeoff	Approach
4-Engine LBPR turbofan 4-Engine LBPR turbofan	4-T-TFL 4-T-TFL(Q)	80-106 111-137	107-110 138-141
(quiet nacelle) 3-Engine LBPR turbofan	3-T-TFL	142-159	160–163
3-Engine LBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	3-T-TFL(Q)	164-181	- 182–185
2-Engine LBPR turbofan	2-T-TFL	186-203	204-207
2-Engine LBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	2-T-TFL(Q)	208-225	226–228
4-Engine HBPR turbofan	4-T-TFH	229-255	256-259
4-Engine HBPR turbofan (quiet nacelle)	4-T-TFH(Q)	260-286	287–290
3-Engine HBPR turbofan	3-т-тғн	291-327	318-321
4-Engine turboprop	4-T-TP	322-324	.325-326
4-Engine propeller	4-T-PP	327-329	330-331
2-Engine GA turbojet	2-G-TJ	332-334	335-336
2-Engine GA turbofan	2-G-TFS	337-338	339
2-Engine GA turboprop	2-G-TP	340-341	342
2-Engine GA propeller(large)	2-G-LPP	343-344	345
2-Engine GA propeller(small)	2-C-SPP	346-347	348
l-Engine GA propeller	1-G-PP	349-350	351

-79-

منير . .



-80-

.....



-81-



4-T-TFL-TO(1)-S-3

-82-



. .

-83-





-85-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-86-



4-T-TFL-TO(III)-S-2

-87-

.

5

1997 A. 1997









--89--



4-T-TFL-TO(I)-N-2

-90-



-91-



-92-



-93--



4-T-TFL-TO(11)-N-3

--94-



A STRUCTURE THE SALE STRUCT

4-T-TFL-TO(III)-N-1

-95-



-96-



4-T-TFL-TO(III)-N-3

-97-







.

.

-98-



-99-



-100-



. .

19. 19. 2 T (J.

-101-



4-T-TFL-TO(11)-F-2

-102-



NAME OF

-103-



-- 104--


-105-

a de la composición de



-106-



-107-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet



4-T-TFL-L(II)-T-1



ţ

-109-

.



4-T-TFL-L(II)-T-1

-110-



-111-



4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(I)-S-2

-112-



-113-



-114-



-115-



-116-



ż. ь. С È

ġ (÷

90 22

4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(111)-S-1

-117-



4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(111)-5-2





ļ

10001-00

4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(111)-S-3

-119-



420-

.



· •

-121-



-122-



-123-



-124-



日本の事業ので、「日本の事業」をついていた。

. . .

-125-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-126-



:]

۱

4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(III)-N-2

-127-



-128-











£

.

-131-



4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(II)-F-1

.

-132-



and the second

いたちもいい うちのな

4-T-TFL(Q)-TO(11)-F-2

-133-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





1

-135-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





-137-



4-T-TFL(Q)-L(I)-S-1





ż

-139-







محاجا والمراجعين


ë.

1

ł





-142-



-143-



-144-



-145-



-146-



-147-



-148-



-149-

3-T-TFL-TO(!)-N-3



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





-151-



-152-



-153-

ال يداد معمد ما



3-T-TFL-TO(1)-F-1

-154-



-155-



-156-

. .



i

.

-157-



ļ

-158-



化氯化物 医脊髓神经炎 计透影的计算

:: •

-159-



-160-



化可加可能 医水管性炎 计分词算法的 网络合同资源合物 计算机分子计 医外侧 化合物的分泌 计算法

ů

1000











-163-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





•

ļ

3-T-TFL(Q)-TO(I)-S-2

-165-



3-T-TFL(Q)-TO(I)-S-3









うないないと

いたまたも

-01.12

特別という問題の主要に

ł,

1.1.1.1



ł

. . .

Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-168-



3-T-TFL(Q)-T(II)-S-3

-169-



3-T-TFL(Q)-TO(I)-N-1

-170-



3-T-TFL(Q)-TO(I)-N-2

4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

-171-



-172-



şi,

-173-



3-T-TFL(Q)-TO(II)-N-2





-175-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet




2

ij

-177-



-178-



0.1736

-179-



-180-



÷

-181-

3-T-TFL(Q)-L(1)-S-1





⁻⁻¹⁸²_-



ショーム おお おもち

-183-

1 1 **1** 1 1 1









~ たいしし とういい

ł

and in the second s

Constant of the

3-T-TFL-L(11)-T-2

-185-





-186-



- Transie

にいたいたいとした。

2-T-TFL-TO(1)-S-2

-187-

2-T-TFL-TO(I)-S-3 120 2-ENGINE LEPR TUREOFAN TRANSPORT (DC-9/737) RANGE 0-500 N. MILES 115 TAKEOFF - ATA Flight Track Distance Range 25,000–140,000 ft. from start of Takeoff Roll 110 105 Sound Exposure Level (SEL) in dB 66 56 00 25,000 30,000 40,000 50,000. 80,000 zoloop 85 80,000 PO: 000 100,000 80 75 110,000 120,000 140,000 70 **L** 0 500 5000 20,000 1000 2000 10,000



-188-,



þ

2-T-TFL-TO(11)-S-1

-189-



2-T-TFL-TO(II)-S-2

-190-



-191-.



2-T-TFL-TO(I)-N-1

-192-



2-T-TFL-TO(I)-N-2

-193-



-194-

يرابين موروحي فوارا الجراجية



ģ

. T

4

-195-



2-T-TFL-TO(II)-N-2

-196-

···· · · · · · · ·



Ä

2-T-TFL-TO(II)-N-3

-197-



2-T-TFL-TO(I)-F-1

-198-



-199-









1.54

Ľ

2-T-TFL-TO(II)-F-1

-201-



-202-



-203-

2-T-TFL-L(1)-S-1



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-204-



- 1000時間には、1000時間に、1000時間にあった時におりております。

Ì

.

-205-







-207-



-208-



i. P

-209-



-210-



1. 2. 1. A.

いたいたい、向き付いていた。というと、人間有量料が引き

CALL IN CALLS

-211-



-212-


ì,





Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





1000000

0

2-T-TFL(Q)-TO(II)-S-2

-215-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





-217-



-218-



÷

10.000

2-T-TFL(Q)-TO(II)-N-3

-219-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-220-



ä.

e.,

-221-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-222-



ала 1977 — Простор Ангрияния 1977 — Простор Ангрияния (1977)

63

1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1998年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年,1999年

and the second second



-224-



è

-225-



-226-



1.1

"我们的时候,我们们就能能够好好,我们也能能能够好好。"

-227-







Ş

-229--



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-230-



-231-





たらの時代の

月 19月1日 19月1日

-233-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-234--



j:

-235-



4-T-TFH-TO(III)-S-2

-236-



e

-237-







-239-



-240-



 $(\cdot \cdot$

ŝ.

ě

-241-



-242-



5 . . .

4-T-TFH-TO(II)-N-3

-243-



-244-



-245-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





1 F

ý

-247--






i







Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet



A ...







Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-252-



 $\hat{a}_{i} \in$

--253-

4-T-TFH-TO(11)-F-2



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-254-



「おいていた」というないないない

-255-





深於男子花

1;

.....

-257-







-259-



-260-



110000

4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(I)-S-2

-261.-



-262-



-263-



-264-



-26 5-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-266-

......



4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(III)-S-2

-267-

. . . .



-268--



-269-



4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(I)-N-2

-270-



and the states of the second







AND DADIES IN SACIDITY

ti V



4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(11)-N-3



4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(III)-N-1

-275-



-276-



-277-



-278-



ĵ

したったいのではななどのないです。

1.1.1







9

4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(II)-F-1

-281-







-283-



-284-


 11

÷

ことのない たいかん たいしゃけん 動き分から かんだいじたい いただい ゆまいま たけたいたい ないたいたい

-285-

4-T-TFH(Q)-TO(11)-F-3



-286-





;



- 2212、24、222、2414

1

2 b

-289-





-290-

.



-291-



-292-



ł

ų

-293-





-634-



à

All and the second

-295-









-298-



-299-





-301-



3-T-TFH-TO(I)-N-3





-303--







-305-



3-T-TFH-TO(III)-N-1

-306-



-307-





-308-





-310-



...

3-T-TFH-TO(I)-F-3





-312-



「日本はない」を見たい。「見解説にかられるまで、

á

-313-









.



-316-



-317-



-318-



10 A 2441 P

AND NOT INCOME. A STOCK

ł

Ę

-319-



3-T-TFH-L(11)-T-1




计中心的 化学生 化化学化学 化化学化学 化化学化学 化化学化学 化化学化学 化化学化学 化化学化学 化合物的 化分子的 化分子 化分子的 化分子的 化分子

-321-



-322-



-323-

Sec. 110

4-T-TP-TO(11)-S-3



-324-



1.11

-325-

4-T-TP-L(1)-S-2







. .

5

-327-

. . . .



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





-329-









i .

ģ

CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT

•••

-331-





.....

. . .



2-G-TJ-TO(11)-S-2

.-333-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet





-335-



-336--





法外部的复数 建合物 林林鄉 的复数



2-G-TFS-TO(II)-S-2

-338-



-339-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-340-



j

and the second

2-G-TP-TO(11)-S-2

-341-



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

-342-



-343-











2-G-SPP-TO(11)-S-1

-346-



And a second second

1.2

-347 -



Distance from Aircraft Flight Track Centerline in feet

.



. .

-349-





111

-351-

APPENDIX B

調査部署

51

t data a

DEVELOPMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT SEL CHARTS

A PERSONAL PROPERTY AND A PROPERTY A

APPENDIX B

DEVELOPMENT OF THE AIRCRAFT SEL CHARTS

This appendix briefly describes the analytical model used to develop the SEL curves presented in this handbook. The appendix also summarizes the noise and aircraft profile information and data sources. More extensive information and details may be found in the noted references.

A. Noise Model Assumptions

The SEL curves given in the handbook were developed from two basic types of information:

- (a) Noise level curves showing the variation of SEL values as a function of distance from the aircraft at known air speed and thrust conditions.
- (b) Takeoff and landing profiles for each aircraft showing the height of the aircraft above ground as a function of distance from start of takeoff roll (or landing threshold).

From a knowledge of the flight profiles, one can determine the distances between the aircraft and the observer at any point underneath or to one side of the aircraft (see Figure 2, for example). Knowing the altitude and distance of the observer from the ground track (which form two sides of a right-angled triangle), one can calculate the distance from the observer to the aircraft.

-355-

For each aircraft, SEL curves were developed for both air-to-ground and ground-to-ground propagation in accordance with the simple analytic models given in Reference 1. These curves assume air absorption for standard day conditions (59°F and 70 RH), as provided in SAE ARP 866A. The groundto-ground curves also assumed excess ground absorption and a 5 dB offset to account for partial shielding of the aircraft noise sources at low angles of elevation.

The transition between air-to-ground and ground-to-ground propagation is based upon the angle of elevation between the aircraft at the closest point of approach and ground observer. This angle, 0, is used to determine a transition coefficient, T, where T is a function of θ and varies between 0 and 1.

For θ equal or less than 4.3°, T = 1 and the ground-toground propagation curve is used. For angles of θ or greater than or equal 7.2°, T = 0 and the air-to-ground propagation curve is used. For intermediate angles, (4.3° < θ < 7.2°), the following function is used: $T = 2.5 - 0.3491 \cdot \theta$.

No correction is used for the possible fuselage shielding effects of multi engine aircraft at ground positions to the side of the aircraft flight track.

These assumptions are identical to those used in the NOISEMAP computer program developed for the Air Force and currently used for both civil and military aircraft L_{dn} calculations.² It should be noted that different assumptions as to the transition between air-to-ground ground-to-ground propagation and shielding may be employed in other computer programs.³

-356-

B. <u>Noise Data</u>

For the larger civil transport aircraft, sets of noise curves (SEL as a function of distance) were available for different engine thrusts and air speeds. At specified points along the flight path, changes were made to basic noise levels to allow for differences in aircraft speed and thrust from the reference conditions. For the smaller aircraft, generalized takeoff and landing noise vs. distance curves were available. Simplified takeoff profiles were used requiring fewer adjustments of noise level data.

The major source of noise data was Reference 4. This information was supplemented by generalized curves for general aviation aircraft provided in Reference 5. Figure B-1 shows a typical set of noise data for one of the larger civil aircraft and Figure B-2 shows a set of a composite data used for the smaller general aviation propeller aircraft.

C. Performance Data

「「日本のない」というないというないというです」

For larger civil aircraft, basic takeoff profiles were developed for different operating gross weights assuming that the aircraft follow ATA procedures. The interpretation of the ATA procedures used in developing these profiles is given in Table B-1. The ATA takeoff procedures used for the transpor aircraft are shown in Figures B-3 through B-7.

For other aircraft, generalized takeoff profiles were employed. These are shown in Figure B-8 and B-9.

A 3° glide slope was assumed for the landing profiles of all jet aircraft and the heavier propeller aircraft. For the smaller general aviation aircraft, a glide slope of 4.5° was assumed.

-357-



-358-

.

Second Section Land


اروم میرود داده او در مرکز از را د ماهر انجاب ام

ang a da kang

(1+1) + (1+1

FIGURE B-2. SOUND EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS DISTANCE - GENERAL AVIATION PROPELLER AIRCRAFT

-359-

Maria Sarat Carlos

A 1, 616



a president and a providence



والمراجع والمحافظ فالمستقشية ومقائد وتراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والتقاع وتراجع

-360-

.



للول والا مواد ومستقدية الربي سابقة الإستقليمي بيرسد ومدارك المارك المتحد منتهمات المتحد والمتربي المتحد المتحد والمربي المربي المتحد والمربي المربي المربي المتحد والمربي المربي المربي المحد والمربي المحد والمربي المحد والمربي المحد والمربي المحد والمربي المحد والمربي المحد والمحد و

FIGURE B-4. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROFILES FOR 3-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT - 727 SERIES (3-T-TFL)

-361-

.

•

ingen 2 Alexander (Galasa



FIGURE B-5. GENERALIZED ATA TAKEOFF PROCEDURES FOR 2-ENGINE LBPR TURBOFAN AIRCRAFT - DC-9, 737 SERIES (2-T-TFL)

-362-

.....





~363-

5. or a Sec. 140

 $(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$



• •



.....

-364-

••



.

1.801



-365-





مهالان المسارية فالمنابعة والمستقد والمنافعة والمعاجرة والمعالية والمحافظة والمنافعة ومعاولا والمتقاط والمتقال فتبل

.

Reference 1 provides most of the basic data for developing the takeoff profiles and for determining thrusts for the takeoff and landing operations of the larger jet aircraft.

D. Special Takeoff Profiles

É

For the civil turbofan transport aircraft, SEL curves are provided for two possible noise abatement procedures. One special procedure is based on that development by Northwest Orient Airlines.⁷ The other special procedure is based on the allowable thrust cutback permitted by FAR 36.⁸ The interpretations of these procedures are given in Table B-1.

Figure B-10 shows a comparison of the three procedures (ATA, NWO Airlines and FAR 36) for a 4-engine LBPR transport aircraft (707/DC-8). It should be noted that the handbook graphs are based on thrust cutbacks at the heights specified in Table B-1. Cutbacks at these heights may not represent the "optimum" noise abatement procedure for alleviating noise at individual airports. TABLE B-1 TAKEOFF PROCEDURES ASSUMED FOR PROFILES OF CIVIL TURBOFAN TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT

المراجع المراجع

	АТА	Northwest AL	"FAR 36"
First Phase	Takeoff to 1500 ft.	Takeoff to 1000 ft.	Takeoff to 700 ft. (4-engine) or <u>Takeoff to 1000 ft. (2,3-engine)</u>
	Takeoff (TO) thrust	TO thrust	TO thrust
	V ₂ + 10 airspeed	V_2 + 10 airspeed	V ₂ + 10 airspeed
	TO flaps	TO flaps	TO flaps
Second Phase	1500 to 3000 ft.	1000 to 3000 ft.	700 ft. or 1000 ft. and Above
	Reduce thrust to maximum	At 1000 ft., lower nose,	Reduce thrust to that required
	climb thrust	accelerate and retract	for climb gradient of 4% (or
	Maintain V ₂ + 10 airspeed	flaps; at V_{7E} , lower	that required for level flight
	and TO flaps.	nose, reduce thrust	with one engine out) ¹ , maintain
		to maintain climb of	V ₂ + 10 airspeed and TO flaps.
		1000 FPM ¹ and hold $V_{\rm ZF}$ speed.	-
Third Phase	3000 ft. and Above	3000 ft. and Above	
	Accelerate to 250 Kt,	Increase to normal climb	
	retract flaps on schedule	thrust, accelerate to	
	while maintaining 500 FPM	250 KT and continue climb.	
	climb, then climb at 250 Kt.		

1. Engine thrust adjusted for operating gross weight

. Ny INSEE dia mampiasa mampiasa mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpi

-368-





-369-

REFERENCES

- D. E. Bishop, W. J. Galloway, "Community Noise Exposure Resulting from Aircraft Operations: Acquisition and Analysis of Aircraft Noise and Performance Data," AMRL TR 73-107, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, August 1973.
- N. H. Reddingius, "Community Noise Exposure Resulting from Aircraft Operations: Computer Program Operator's Manual," AMRL TR 73-108, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, July 1974.
- C. Bartell et al, "Airport Noise Reduction Forecast, Volume II - NEF Computer Program Description and User's Manual", Dept. of Transportation, DOT-TST-75-4, October 1974.
- D. E. Bishop, J. F. Mills, J. M. Beckmann, "Sound Exposure Level Versus Distance Curves for Civil Aircraft, BBN Report 2759, prepared for the EPA, February 1976.
- D. E. Bishop, A. P. Hays, "Handbook for Developing Noise Exposure Contours for General Aviation Airports," FAA Report FAA-AS-75-1, December 1975.

-3705

La suit air anna ann

If your address is incorrect, please change on the above label; tear off; and return to the above address.

If you do not desire to continue receiving this technical report series, CHECK HERE 🖸 : tear off label, and return it to the above address,

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY EPA-335

SPECIAL 4th CLASS RATE BOOK

